News
The BritCard digital ID psyop exposed
Page 3



Opinion
Fear the key in ensuring consent to tyranny
Page 6



Law
How our ancient rights have been eroded
Page 9



International
A political enterprise for land conquest
Page 17

The Uncensored Truth

TheLIGHT

ISSUE 62 Monthly

A FREE TRUTHPAPER

www.thelightpaper.co.uk

They know vaccines cause autism

Regulators exposed advancing interests of Big Pharma Page 2

Won't get fooled again

Another 'outbreak' planned - will you comply?

by **DARREN SMITH**

THEY locked us down, masked us up, restricted our movements, shuttered small businesses and created the biggest marketing campaign ever for Big Pharma to shoot you up with their 'vaccines'.

Depending on whether you were lucky or not, those very expensive shots contained either saline or, potentially, plasmids, SV-40 and other carcinogens, and the result was often death, disability, heart attack, stroke, seizure and a host of illnesses which people are still developing today, and 'dying suddenly' of. In the Netherlands, there is a court case against some of its instigators, including Bill Gates (see Issue 60 and p20 of this issue).

The hysteria, paranoia and draconian, two-tier policing is not easy to remember now, because, as with any trauma, the sooner we can forget about its emotional impact and move on, the better.

They used the entire media – print, social, radio and TV – to deceive the many millions who knew that something was very wrong, that they were on their own and there weren't many millions more like them.

When people heard some of their neighbours clapping and banging pans for the NHS at 8 o'clock, they assumed the whole world was going along with what was on the TV, and that they were the outlier, and probably mad; even though they were now being told to wash their hands like children and sing 'Happy Birthday' while doing so – Simon Says, but without the whole population ever realising they were playing the game.

A scene from Wuhan, China during the last scamdemic

Whistleblowers – those lone rare voices among the professions such as nurses, doctors, politicians and a funeral director – are never interviewed on the TV, even now. Nor does the media ever talk about the huge rise in excess deaths, especially in the under-60s, which tells you all you need to know about the true purpose of TV.

Nineteen Eighty-Four is describing real life right now, and the more legislation the government passes, the more obvious it becomes. The only information you receive is what the rulers want you to believe.

We must never forget the sheer illegality, the insanity and the acquiescence of most in Britain and across the 'developed' world (where the majority still believe the government and the BBC are upstanding institutions and what is on the TV/phone screen is reality); because those who direct the world and create events to shock, traumatise and nudge the behaviour of the population are almost certainly going to attempt it again at some point.

The WHO Pandemic Agreement was finally signed in May 2025, with the UK being a signatory, and the Pegasus 'exercise' – the UK's biggest health training operation rehearsal ever – is taking place this autumn, though with little fanfare or full explanation in the culpable media.

It should be obvious to any observer that when they believe enough people will comply again, they will pull another mass psychological operation, announcing abruptly that a new outbreak has occurred; and this time there will be extremely strict lockdown rules in order to save everyone, possibly focusing on our children.

It will be deliberately confusing, irrational and illogical, but highly fearsome.

They may even attack people using whatever remote weapons they have developed. Many suspect the strange, tall, grey 5G towers and their boxes that appeared without anyone ever announcing it or talking about it will be able to make certain people ill, possibly in connection with their smartphones – so that people may well be genuinely ill or die.

They will make you believe there really is a 'natural' outbreak of something deadly and that it's time to mask up again, lock down and shut the hell up – because this time, the internet and social media will be highly censored/shadowbanned/policed, with arrest a real threat for 'encouraging vaccine hesitancy' or going against the official narrative ™ in any way.

This is what the Online Safety Act, together with the 'fight against misinformation' are really all about: keeping you quiet.

PLEASE SUBSCRIBE AND SUPPORT US - LOVE ONE ANOTHER

Vaccines cause autism and they know

by **JOHN MELODY**

Shocking testimony before politicians raises health alert

A STUNNING testimony from political economist Dr Toby Rogers, PhD, before the U.S. Senate, has sent shockwaves throughout the medical world.

His evidence dismantles the official narrative on the autism epidemic with data, evidence, and a powerful personal story.

In 2015, his then-partner's son was diagnosed with autism. As a PhD student at the University of Sydney, he went to the website of the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to understand the causes. Trained to read primary sources, he found the CDC's narrative did not add up.

He changed his entire doctoral thesis to study the political economy of autism. His groundbreaking work is now among the top 10 most-downloaded PhD theses in the history of the University of Sydney.

His findings:

- 22 studies claiming vaccines don't cause autism are useless – none have a true unvaccinated control group.
- Over $2.3 billion spent on genetic research has found almost nothing because genes don't cause sudden epidemics.
- 437 publications on environmental factors (pesticides, pollution, etc.) all ignore vaccines as a possible confounder, rendering their conclusions incomplete.

The critical missing piece? Vaxxed versus unvaxxed studies. He cites six key, suppressed studies that the media ignores:

- The hepatitis B birth dose increases autism risk (Gallagher & Goodman).
- Vaccination increases odds of autism by at least fourfold (Mawson).
- Pre-term birth plus vaccination increases neurodevelopmental disability by over twelvefold.
- Vaccination plus no breastfeeding increases risk over twelvefold. Vaccination plus C-section increases risk by 18.7 times (Hooker & Miller, 2021).

Medicine, research and regulation have all been captured by millions from Big Pharma

His 360-degree explanation for why autism hasn't been studied correctly? Medicine, research and regulation have all been captured by Big Pharma money, while doctors live inside an epistemic bubble engineered by the pharmaceutical industry to increase its profits.

Online campaigners Children's Health Defense said Rogers was a fellow of the Brownstone Institute for Social and Economic Research, and had a doctorate in political economy from the University of Sydney in Australia, and a Master's of Public Policy from the University of California, Berkeley.

Rogers said: "Let me explain how all this works. This is right in my wheelhouse. This is what I study. I follow the money. Autism is a political economy problem. These are all political economy problems.

"Thus far, government has failed in response to the autism epidemic because of regulatory capture. Rather than protecting public health, regulators have advanced the interests of the pharmaceutical industry. That's pretty straightforward.

"Science and medicine have failed in response to the autism epidemic because of epistemic capture. And what I mean by that is that the pharmaceutical industry has captured every step in the knowledge production process in science and medicine.

"Big Pharma controls what is studied, how it is researched, and what qualifies as evidence. Now, this capture permeates every level of the system. Medical textbooks and curricula are influenced by financially-conflicted academics. Universities and department chairs hold substantial pharmaceutical ties.

"Most clinical trials are conducted by for-profit contract research organisations. In China and the third world, a large share of scientific journal articles are ghostwritten. The pharmaceutical industry spends over $27 billion annually on drug promotion and continuing medical education.

"Standards of care are authored by conflicted physicians. So from the first day of medical school to the final years of practice, doctors live inside an epistemic bubble engineered by the pharmaceutical industry to increase its profits.

"They only pay attention to captured science. Science that's controlled by the pharmaceutical industry. The correct answers, the best science is happening outside of that epistemic bubble. The entire system of knowledge production in science and medicine needs to be overhauled to liberate it from the biases and distortions imposed by the pharmaceutical industry.

"The autism and chronic disease epidemics are primarily caused by toxicants, mostly from vaccines, and about a dozen additional toxicants.

"I'd like to have accountability for the 'covid era' and I'd like to have accountability for the fact that the CDC has known since 1999 that vaccines cause autism and they've covered it up for 26 years."

● ***https://tinyurl.com/3wvrh95n***

TheLIGHT

Oct-Nov 2025 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is Creative Commons, 2025. **thelightpaper.co.uk**
For all subscription issues, please contact:
subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk
For all distribution queries and pre-orders,
please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**
For advertising enquiries, please contact:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith **Sub-editor:** Harry Wundas
Layout: mi.ki **Distribution:** Fifi Rose & Matt Smith
Advertising: Nicola Kelly **Subscriptions:** Ross & Rebecca Pename
Proofreading: Shane F, David K, Alan I, Stevie M, Tracy S

Special thanks to every one of our distributors and hubs who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free – you can help by ordering advance copies, donating or subscribing at:

https://thelightpaper.co.uk

'I have ever hated all nations, professions and communities, and all my love is towards individuals.'
JONATHAN SWIFT

BritCard 'bait-and-switch' psyop

by **IAIN DAVIS**

Digital ID coming via back door of 'interoperability'

APPARENTLY, in order to be able to work in the UK, we will all be forced to adopt digital ID – the mandatory so-called BritCard.

There is absolutely no public appetite for this, as demonstrated by the more than 2.75 million signatures to the online petition to stop it.

Of course, online petitions don't make any difference to governments, but at least they illustrate to us that government propaganda, such as the IPSOS poll that alleges 57 per cent of the UK public want digital ID, is nonsense.

Proudly announcing mandatory digital ID at the Global Progress Action Summit in London last month, Keir Starmer said: "Let me spell that out. You will not be able to work in the United Kingdom if you do not have Digital ID. It's as simple as that."

This all sounds very authoritarian, but if we decide we are not going to adopt the BritCard, and if the UK government insists on enforcing it, the entire UK economy and the government will collapse. If digital ID is mandatory to work in the UK, and millions, perhaps tens of millions, of people decide they are not going along with it, then that means mass unemployment, a vanishing government tax income, and economic destruction on a cataclysmic scale.

The government can be as tough as it likes, but if we tell it to do one, there is sweet FA it can do about it. The government only has power while we comply; if we don't, it has absolutely none at all. It's a paper tiger. We have all the power, we just have to realise it by not complying.

The government has exploited illegal immigration as an excuse to supposedly introduce digital ID, but there are a few glaring problems with this ludicrous argument.

For a start, we already have a National Insurance Number (NIN), without which you cannot work – and you cannot get one if you are in the UK illegally. Those who employ people illegally couldn't care less whether you have a NIN or not, just as the worker won't care if a slave labourer has a BritCard or not.

Those who come here illegally do so knowing it is illegal, and the BritCard won't make any difference to them either. Nor will trafficked illegal immigrants be deterred, because they don't have a choice, and the traffickers show no signs of giving up on their multi-billion dollar industry which, in any event, digital ID will do nothing to hinder.

360° surveillance and complete control are their aims

It is obvious that tackling illegal migration has nothing to do with the UK government's alleged hope of foisting digital ID on us all.

The government says: 'A new digital ID scheme will make it easier for people across the UK to use vital government services. The roll-out will in time make it easier to apply for government and private sector services, such as helping renters to quickly prove their identity to landlords, improving access to welfare and other benefits, and making it easier for parents to apply for free childcare.'

So, in time, we will supposedly need digital ID to access services like childcare, to receive welfare and other benefits, and to rent a home. But that's not all. We will also need it to access private sector services, such as those offered by banks. You'll need your government-approved digital ID to buy a home too, in time.

In short, a state-issued digital ID gives it total control over your life and, to a great extent, the economy.

Currently, migrants given leave to stay, either permanently or temporarily, can use government-issued biometric ID – digital identity that contains biological information – to open a bank account. Starmer's biometric BritCard, and all digital ID, merely extends that government-mandated 'privilege' to the rest of us.

Starmer is a globalist member of numerous policy think tanks, including the Trilateral Commission. The policy to enforce digital ID on everyone has nothing to do with his government. That policy emanated from globalist think tanks, and was set by the United Nations as the 16th Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) in 2016.

Starmer and the UK government are seemingly doing what they are told. But something doesn't quite add up.

The global digital ID systems and networks that have been put into place, to date, do not require the issuance of any single biometric digital ID card or app. Rather, a smorgasbord of 'vendor agnostic' digital ID products can be made 'interoperable' and share data in a uniform machine-readable format.

If the SDG 16.9 plans for data interoperability proceed as envisaged, the data from your UK biometric digital ID driving licence – which you probably already possess – and your biometric digital ID passport, for instance, could be linked to all your purchases through your interoperable digital bank card.

The interoperable digital infrastructure is the key to constructing our digital ID from interlinked, vendor agnostic digital ID products. In effect, our digital ID can be constructed by the system, as we interact with it, without us having any one, designated digital ID app or card. That is the point of digital ID-linked product interoperability.

The UK government already has an SDG 16.9-compatible biometric digital ID platform called 'One Login'. It is part of the Government Digital Service (GDS) and provides users with access to government services via their GOV.UK digital wallets.

The interoperable digital ID infrastructure that is being installed worldwide means that there are no technological reasons to account for the UK government's attempt to introduce an extremely unpopular single, government-issued digital ID.

There is no realistic prospect that the government is going to get people to adopt its ridiculous BritCards. From Starmer's and the Labour government's perspective, this looks like political suicide. So what is going on?

It is not unreasonable to speculate that BritCard is a bait-and-switch psyop.

As anger is stoked and resentment swells, the completely unnecessary BritCard will be flung out along with the Labour government. Again.

The door will then be open for the political saviours, be they the Tories, Reform or whoever, to come to power promising never to subject us to any more of these idiotic government-issued ID schemes.

However, to keep pace with the digital revolution, our digital infrastructure – our cards and licenses – will need to be upgraded to facilitate the necessary interoperability.

Voila! We will rejoice in our victory but accept digital ID without even knowing it.

- ***This is an abridged version of Iain Davis' article on Substack at: https://substack.com/@iaindavis***

LINKS

- ***https://iaindavis.com***
- ***UK Petition: Do not introduce Digital ID cards: https://tinyurl.com/ykbcb9j8***
- ***x.com: InThisTogether: Kier Starmer speaking: https://tinyurl.com/2bv4upyv***
- ***x.com: InThisTogether: Lisa Nandy speaking: https://tinyurl.com/y2e6w66x***
- ***unlimitedhangout.com: SDG16: Part 2 — Enforcing Digital Identity: https://tinyurl.com/5aa8tx5p***

‘He just ran out of breath’

Derek Dimmock inquest: A family’s fight for justice

by **JACQUI DEEVOY**

I’VE been deeply immersed in the case of Derek ‘Del’ Dimmock, an 86-year-old pensioner from Putney, who died at the Royal Trinity Hospice (RTH) in Clapham, South London on June 7, 2020.

His death has thrust me into a five-year investigation at Southwark Coroner’s Court under Senior Coroner Dr. Julian Morris, where his family – led by Del’s devoted wife Maureen and son Paul – alleges he was unlawfully killed through the misuse of end-of-life drugs.

The inquest’s second phase, which I attended in person, was shockingly suspended on the final day, leaving me, the Dimmock family and their supporters in a state of disbelief.

The suspension occurred 20 minutes into the final day – August 15 – of the week-long inquest. It came the morning after expert witness Dr. Kevin P. Corbett’s powerful testimony.

I’ve been investigating reports of involuntary euthanasia within the NHS since 2020; back then there were only a handful of us questioning what was happening. But now the question on the minds of millions is this: were thousands of elderly patients like Del Dimmock sedated to death under the covid protocols introduced at the start of the pandemic?

Del lived with an array of health conditions but enjoyed life. At 86, he wasn’t in peak health but his family insists he wasn’t set to die on his admission to the hospice on June 6, 2020 with painful but treatable gout.

A community nurse visited him the day before, noting he could sip tea, and the non-emergency ambulance crew described him as ‘alert’ on his journey to RTH.

Yet, within three hours of arriving, Derek was placed on a syringe driver delivering midazolam (a sedative) and synthetic opioid, alfentanil (a fentanyl derivative).

The hospice told the family he “just ran out of breath” the next day, but Maureen’s account paints a starkly different picture.

She described how he slipped from alertness to a coma after the drugs began, a transition she labelled “callous, cold, and cruel”. The family tells how Del was denied fluids, never given a swallow test, and was subjected to a deadly protocol that, in their opinion,

Derek ‘Del’ Dimmock

prematurely ended his life. It’s been claimed by the family that a surgeon said the midazolam dose given to Del was ‘enough to kill The inquest has been a fractured and torturous process. I have spent many hours in Southwark Coroner’s Court, where Dr. Julian Morris, who is both a lawyer and a doctor, attempted to determine if Del’s death was natural or unlawful. After five pre-inquest review hearings, the main proceedings ran from March 17-21, with testimony from medical staff and the Dimmock family.

The second phase, between August 11-15, was meant to include expert witness Prof. Sam Ahmedzai, but I was there when the inquest was unexpectedly halted just moments before Ahmedzai was due to speak.

Ex-MP Andrew Bridgen posted on X about the family’s subsequent complaint to the Chief Coroner regarding Dr. Morris’s conduct. The delays, with whispers of an extension into 2026, now fuel the growing suspicion of a cover-up.

The testimony I’ve heard is riddled with contradictions. Dr. Amy Kingston and Dr. Phoebe Wright claimed that Derek was ‘in the process of dying’ upon admission, justifying the syringe driver for ‘comfort’ and ‘dignity’.

Yet the family says Del’s GP, Dr. Fhorkan Uddin, absent from the inquest on sick leave, never mentioned in his notes that Del was end-of-life. Dr. Uddin prescribed midazolam without a formal assessment of Del’s condition, relying solely on RTH community nurse Anne Wheeler’s request for ‘anticipatory medications’ which included 100 mg of midazolam.

Band 5 nurse (the most junior grade), Nancy Griffin-Gauldie, who set up the syringe driver, admitted that, in hindsight, the dose might be questionable, though she denied any intent to over-sedate.

Nurse Patricia Bateson, on duty when Del died, insisted she approached medication with a ‘questioning mind’. Community nurse, Rosie Ellwood, who saw Derek on June 5, said he showed no signs of imminent death, directly contradicting the hospice’s narrative.

On August 14, Dr. Kevin Corbett, a retired nurse practitioner and health scientist, renowned for his critiques of medical protocols before and during the pandemic, delivered his testimony.

He challenged the use of midazolam and the application of NICE guideline NG163, which guided end-of-life care. He argued that high doses of midazolam could hasten death by suppressing respiration, especially in allegedly non-terminal patients like Del.

His evidence questioned whether the hospice’s swift deployment of the syringe driver adhered to ethical standards, suggesting it may have caused an unnatural death.

The inquest was suspended the following day.

I have spent a lot of time researching NICE guideline NG163, issued in April 2020, which recommended sedatives like midazolam paired with an opioid as a covid treatment.

Myself and others, including medical experts, suspect it was misused to euthanise patients who were not terminally ill in hindsight, possibly to boost the number of deaths attributed to covid.

It is understood that then-Health Secretary, Matt Hancock, ordered a two-year supply of midazolam – a drug used in U.S. executions – in April 2020, and its usage surged, prompting many to connect it to care home deaths and inflated covid death tolls, to help create panic and fear.

This inquest has become a crucible for anyone interested to examine NHS end-of-life protocols.

Dr. Corbett’s testimony confirms my findings on midazolam’s respiratory risks, which could turn palliative care into a death sentence.

I have reported for *The Light* many times about midazolam misuse and overuse, where families claim loved ones were sedated to death. After speaking out about it in 2021, hundreds of relatives of victims came to me with their stories – stories about involuntary euthanasia being carried out behind the closed doors of NHS facilities. I set up a support group which currently has around 200 members.

The inquest’s outcome could force a reckoning on whether NICE guideline NG163 enabled involuntary euthanasia. Was Derek’s death a tragic error or a deliberate act? We await the coroner’s ruling.

For Maureen and Paul, their fight demands transparency in a healthcare system that too often seems to prioritise protocol over people. As we wait to hear when the inquest will resume, I wonder if justice will prevail, or if the system will let them down. It’s reassuring that Dr. Julian Morris reminded the court that he himself is under oath, and can only reach a conclusion based on the evidence that sits before him.

The establishment's secret weapon

by **JAMES CORBETT**

BAD news, my liege. It seems the plebs are growing wise.

You know the whole global warming thing? Let's just say that agenda is... not going well for us. Even our reliable mockingbirds at *The New York Times* are starting to admit that that particular fairytale is falling apart.

And do you remember the Great Reset? I'm afraid our good friend Klaus isn't doing too well these days, either.

And can you recall our Build Back Better campaign? If you can recall that failed attempt at sloganeering, you're probably one of the few who do.

Not to worry, though, my Worshipful Master. We have a secret weapon in our arsenal that will allow us to continue to manipulate the masses and steer society in whatever direction we choose.

What's that? You don't know about our secret weapon? Allow me to explain.

First, the bad news.

Ever since this pesky internet thing took off and the unwashed masses began getting their news from each other instead of from our media mouthpieces, it's been considerably harder to move our agenda forward.

Remember when all we had to do to gin up a decades-long Cold War against a commie bogeyman was traumatise schoolchildren with 'run, duck and cover' drills and TV movies of the week?

And can you recall when we could just make up stories about babies being thrown from incubators in order to justify a foreign war? And how it took the mainstream media two years to even begin to question the deception?

And can you cast your mind back to when we had the power to start a worldwide panic over 'man-made global warming' by turning up the thermostat in a hearing room, declaring an Earth Day and hiring some actors to appear in a truly atrocious TV special?

Just think: it wasn't that long ago when the only opposition to our agenda the public ever heard was the 'opposition' we ourselves allowed on our own airwaves.

Overpopulation. The missile gap. The ozone hole. There wasn't a made-up panic that we couldn't sell the public on with a coordinated media campaign.

And how about the Gulf of Tonkin? MK Ultra? 9/11? The anthrax attacks? There wasn't a false flag or psyop we couldn't pull off (or cover up) whenever we needed to.

These days, though, things are a bit more...problematic.

Image: Martin Wyall

I mean, yes, we can still invent false pretenses to pull off an invasion of Libya when we need to. And we did manage to overthrow Assad after a decade of concerted effort. But our ability to create new realities is not what it used to be. We still haven't accomplished the Iran invasion yet.

It seems that people the world over are starting to disbelieve the 'Israel is the plucky democratic underdog in the Middle East and anyone who disagrees is a vile anti-Semite' narrative which we've been shoving down their collective throat for generations.

Plus, whenever any event takes place, there are now millions of people online scrutinising every available scrap of evidence. They're even increasingly coming to their own conclusions despite what our presstitutes tell them to believe.

Now, I know what you're thinking: 'but we still control the masses. Just look at what we've accomplished in recent years!'

I admit, from the outside it might look like the scamdemic was our greatest achievement. Billions of people locking themselves in their own homes, donning face diapers, and rolling their sleeves up for genetic slurries merely on the say-so of the health 'experts' and the media talking heads.

But in reality, we spent all of our political capital on that one, and what do we have to show for it? Today, folks are more sceptical of health authorities and scientific institutions than they've ever been.

A decade ago, who would have even thought to question the World Health Organisation or its recommendations? Now, people are marching en masse to get the WHO out of their countries!

In short, it's more difficult than ever to get the public to mindlessly obey our dictates.

Yes, Rockefeller was right: it would've been better if the internet had never been invented. Truly, these are dark days for those of us who want to engineer society.

But don't worry, my Dark Lord. You see, we have a secret weapon in our arsenal.

Our secret weapon is so devilishly simple that the average rube doesn't even think of it as a weapon. And if no one realises that it's our greatest weapon, how will they ever devise a defence against it?

The secret weapon is simply this: when people catch on to our lies, uncover our plans, and divine our evil aims, we don't stop. We just reformulate our plan and try again. And again. And again. And again. And again. Until, eventually, we get exactly what we wanted in the first place.

It's like global warming. That worked well enough for a time. After all, the world has been warming ever since the Little Ice Age, so who could really question the concept?

Still, when we found it convenient to blame cold weather on global warming (as well as blame warming for droughts and floods and more hurricanes and fewer hurricanes and shorter winters and harsher winters and more fog and less fog and melting ice caps and freezing ice caps and every other conceivable climatic variation), people began to question the narrative.

So, what did we do? We just deployed our secret weapon and changed the name! 'Global warming? No, it's not global warming! It's climate change! There, that explains everything!'

Or take the '15-minute cities' idea. As it turns out, for some reason or other, a lot of people didn't like the idea of living in a pod, eating bugs and asking us for permission to pass beyond the limits of their 15-minute city border. Imagine that!

So, once again, we just rebranded. Suddenly, it was 'smart cities'. Who could object to smart cities? Only dumb people, obviously! And you're not a dumb person, are you, Mr. Joe Q. Public?

And for the people who understand that smart cities are surveillance nightmares designed to trap people in our digital panopticon, we can rebrand again. You hate 15-minute cities, but you're going to love 'freedom cities'. Freedom cities are approved by the cool MAGA cap-wearing tech bros, so you know they're great! Of course, there are some agenda items that can't be so easily rebranded or repackaged. But our secret weapon – not giving up – serves us well once again. Sometimes we just have to power through the opposition and wait for people to get used to the new normal, as we know they eventually will.

We play chess. They play checkers. And they wonder why we beat them over and over and over and over.

Of course, there is a chance that the proles will be able to turn our own secret weapon against us.

It's a good thing that our opposition never copies us!

Yes, it is possible that our tax cattle could actually turn this weapon around on us. All they'd have to do is do as we do: put their differences on peripheral issues aside, unite around their core principles and agenda items, and keep pushing and pushing and pushing until they get what they want.

But I wouldn't worry about that remote possibility, sire. Given my observations, it's exceedingly unlikely that the commoners will ever be able to wield our secret weapon against us.

Yes, I think it's safe to say we have little to worry about from the truth movement as it stands today. Divided and conquered, lashing out against each other, giving up before they've even started...they're nowhere near discovering our secret weapon – of perseverance.

True, it requires more effort than ever before to shape and steer the public mind. But the point is, we're willing to put in that effort. We will continue to find ways to undermine, subvert and manipulate all resistance to our agenda until it has been completely eradicated.

A toast, then, my evil overlord. To our inevitable New World Order!

Our victory is assured because we keep striving, while they never even bother to try.

- ***This is an abridged article from James Corbett at corbettreport.com***
- ***wattsupwiththat.com: The Paris delusion collapses; even the* New York Times *admits it: https://tinyurl.com/4n8mdk6f***
- ***corbettreport.com: Flashback-overpopulation: https://tinyurl.com/5s2ne7x8***

Don't worry, be happy

Fear the key for ensuring consent to tyranny

by **NIALL McCRAE**

AS a distributor of *The Light* newspaper, one of the ironies of the heckling I sometimes hear is the accusation of fascism, by people who behave like fascists.

Similarly ironic is the criticism that colleagues and I are spreading doom and gloom. This would be fair if *The Light* only covered the adversities we face, but it also has plenty of positive messages.

The critic, meanwhile, is typically someone who watches 'the news' on television, with its daily dose of crime and catastrophe.

A few years ago, I saw an Extinction Rebellion march in London. Among the gathering was a woman bearing a placard imploring, 'You need to be scared.'

I asked her about this, and she told me that as a schoolteacher, she regarded children as more amenable to fear of the future, hoping that their sense of a climate emergency would lead them to take the drastic actions necessary to save the Earth.

There are certainly causes for concern for society, young and old alike. As a parent, I try not to think too much about the prospects for my children in the looming totalitarian technocracy.

FEAR: False evidence appearing real

But this peril is never mentioned in schools, where the emphasis is on compliance and stifling of debate. Instead, younger generations are given official narratives on what to fear: climate crisis, war, terrorism, misogyny, racism, a resurgence of 1930s-style fascism and deadly pandemics.

Such phenomena are exaggerated, if not entirely fabricated. But they are pushed for a reason: climate crisis for the net zero carbon purge; risk of war for conscription; terrorism for digital identity; racism to undermine the host community; contagious diseases to get everyone injected with 'vaccines'.

A broader purpose of these scares is to maintain citizens in a perpetual state of alertness and subconscious fear. This makes people much easier to control, because they are more likely to support authoritarian action.

Air passengers accepted the need for much stricter gate security after the 9/11 event. The irritating 'see it, say it, sorted' mantra on train journeys conveys a message that lurking strangers could harm you at any moment, and that we depend on the police for our safety.

Both the Left and Right of the political divide are manipulated to gain consent for heavy-handed state intervention. Immigration, a prominent concern of patriots, is being used by the establishment to overcome resistance to a national digital identity system.

People opposed to dinghies crossing the English Channel, bringing migrants who are accommodated in hotels at huge public expense while being perceived as a hazard to women and children, want the government to act. Thus, they will agree with the proposed means of determining whether someone is here legally or not – and that entails digital identity for all.

The Left, with its puritanical ideology, is also lured into a digital matrix. Perceived propagation of 'hate' on the internet justifies the cancelling and criminalising of dissenting opinion.

Nobody should be allowed to post online without identifying themselves, so that punitive action may be taken if they cause offence. Thus, the Left largely supports the Online Safety Act and its giant stride towards the imposition of digital identity for all internet users.

Draconian laws and policies are enabled by an atmosphere of fear. Official scares are instrumental, but they have a more significant use for the authorities, by distracting people from the truth: the real danger is from the government itself, and its international overlords. The Great Reset is not a wild conspiracy theory, but an actual conspiracy.

Yet we should not worry. While fear is an emotion rather than a cognitive stance, it can be alleviated by a positive outlook, which is always possible whatever the adversities. The powers-that-be want you to waste nervous energy on hyperbolic threats to your health and safety, because that reduces your resilience and capability for rational thinking.

It's not an easy doctrine to follow, and we should not ignore injustice and tyranny, but as Bobby McFerrin sang: 'Don't worry, be happy.'

If you want to help spread the uncensored truth

...then please pre-order advance copies of

The Uncensored Truth TheLIGHT

each month for your group, town or community:

25 copies for £10
100 copies for £20
200 copies for £25
500 copies for £50

www.thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk–order

ULEZ scandal exposed in court

'Inadequate signage' rulings see fines overturned

by **STAN McDONALD**

ACROSS London, the Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) scheme has sparked outrage and resistance.

Recent legal victories expose deep flaws in legislative compliance, council transparency, and basic privacy rights. Now, mounting legal challenges could mean refunds for thousands of motorists, and the collapse of the ULEZ scheme itself.

ULEZ was expanded under the Greater London Authority Act 1999 (Schedule 23), granting Transport for London (TfL) the power to enforce road charging – power strictly limited by statutory requirements including proper consultation, notification, and signage.

The scheme orders demand accurate, informative signage and fair process. Recent cases prove this system has not met even basic legal standards.

The tide turned in 2021 when scaffolder Noel Wilcox won an appeal against £115,000 in fines, with the adjudicator ruling signage in ULEZ zones grossly inadequate.

Tribunal decisions, like Robert Morris v TfL, and high profile borough judicial reviews, found similar faults – lack of clear notification, improper consultation, and no meaningful public engagement. These failures aren't minor, they render penalties invalid and open the door to mass refunds.

Legal maxims supporting challenge:

- Ultra Vires –actions beyond the Mayor's/TfL's statutory authority make charges void.
- Audi alteram partem – 'let the other side be heard' – motorists must have a fair chance to contest PCNs with full information.
- Nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege – no crime or penalty without clear law – procedures must comply with legislation.

Disclosure is the heart of fair enforcement, and proper disclosure requirements include:

- Clear, adequate signage is a legal duty. Motorists must be informed before entering charging zones – generic ULEZ signs are not good enough. In the Wilcox and Morris cases, ambiguous or missing signs led to penalties being quashed.
- Transparency of data use: under UK GDPR and Data Protection Act 2018, TfL and councils must clarify how vehicle and personal data is collected, processed, and used – providing citizens access to review or challenge the data held about them.
- PCN and appeal disclosure: every penalty notice must include legal justification, supporting evidence, and clear appeal instructions. The law demands a transparent process.

Misleading ULEZ signage - they should read 'give us your money'

These failures aren't minor, they render penalties invalid and open the door to mass refunds

Crucially, most citizens may not realise that their vehicle registration plate is, legally, personal data under UK GDPR. DVLA's privacy policy makes it clear: your personal/vehicle information can only be disclosed for statutory law enforcement and criminal investigations, not for civil penalty enforcement or administrative revenue-raising schemes. That means using your plate data to issue ULEZ or PCN charges outside of a criminal case is arguably unlawful.

Relevant statutes and case law:

- DVLA v Information Commissioner and Williams (2020): the court confirmed strict limits on publication and use of DVLA data, restricting it to crime prevention and prosecution.
- The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) guidance: processing criminal offence data (UK GDPR), Road Traffic Act 1988, Vehicle Excise and Registration Act 1994.
- Nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege: without clear statutory basis, data disclosure for these charges is void.

If private information linked to your plate was used for any non-criminal ULEZ or PCN enforcement, this is a breach of data protection law – a strong ground for challenging and voiding the charges.

What can motorists do?

- Demand full disclosure: request all details – signage, evidence, time, location, scheme orders, data usage policy.
- Cite strong case law: Wilcox, Morris, borough judicial reviews.
- Challenge plate-based data usage: quote GDPR, DVLA v Information Commissioner, and statutory limits.
- Appeal all charges vigorously and demand accountability for unlawful data sharing.

ULEZ was sold to the public as environmental policy, but it has been laid bare as financial and administrative overreach. We have legal tools, privacy protections, and case precedent on our side. If you have been charged improperly, demand your rights. The law is clear – without proper process, full disclosure, and lawful use of your data, these schemes cannot stand.

The Epping migrant delusion

Politicans proudly wearing Emperor's New Clothes

by **EDWARD MITCHELL**

Protesters outside the Bell Hotel in Epping

THE origin of the story of the 'Emperor's New Clothes' is difficult to pin down.

Could it be 19th century Denmark, 14th century Spain, 13th century India or the 500s BC in Greece? Perhaps the fact that all of these cultures and times are viable options confirms the truth of it – never underestimate the capacity of those in power to believe their own nonsense.

One of the inherent problems with the government's strategy to 'educate' people out of their concerns about immigration is that the narrative it requires is based on myth, not history.

British politics is an excellent example of this. I'm fascinated by former Deputy Prime Minister Angela Rayner's words – leaked from a cabinet meeting in the midst of the Epping hotel fiasco – about needing to 'repair the social fabric' and foster 'better integration'.

She's not wrong, but the fact that something so self-evidently true even needs to be said at cabinet is telling. Surely no-one who hasn't been in a coma for the last 25 years would need reminding of this. It was redolent of one of Basil Fawlty's better put-downs to his wife: "Next contestant, Sybil Fawlty from Torquay, special subject: the bleeding obvious."

You detect a belief, in some quarters of government, that people are somehow imagining the problems around them. Indeed, Rayner went on to add that "while Britain was a successful multi-ethnic, multi-faith country, the government had to show it had a plan to address people's concerns and provide opportunities for everyone to flourish."

Given that she also warned of civil unrest and a summer of rioting in the same breath, to return instantly to 'diversity is our strength' platitudinous slop seems to require a lot of cognitive dissonance.

The official narrative of the country's past simply does not stand up to any meaningful tests of fact. All this further undermines governmental attempts to allay concerns about migration.

This constant construction and promotion of easily disprovable myths only embeds the idea that the powers-that-be are either dangerously deluded or maliciously dishonest.

Whenever the issue is raised of enormous numbers of people arriving in the country, often with beliefs, values and practices that are at direct odds with the norms of this country, we are treated to a lecture by our leaders replete with nebulous platitudes and sometimes a bit of football chat.

Football appears to be Prime Minister Keir Starmer's only cultural touchstone – he exhibits no knowledge of history prior to the tail-end of the Clement Attlee government.

Is it any wonder that this man is incapable of communicating a deeper narrative of Britain beyond his Dalek-like squawks? These politicians are people who, when faced with an overboiling pot, choose to put a lid on it rather than turn down the stove.

They have no idea how truly divided and angry the country is, nor how ill-equipped they are to deal with it.

The government's latest plan appears to be shuffling asylum seekers from hotel to hotel, or from hotel into private, rented accommodation, and hope no one will notice – while MPs congratulate themselves for getting the numbers down.

This tendency isn't just limited to politicians either. Having initially denied it, Essex police have now admitted that they escorted a left-wing rent-a-mob to the protest against illegal immigration outside the Bell Hotel in Epping.

Since the Southport murders, an entire team of civil servants has been tasked with monitoring people's personal communications on social media. Never does it occur to them that public anger might be rooted in real, tangible causes. They fundamentally see this as a matter of information containment or – among the even more naive – education of the masses, rather than policy.

There is still a Blair-era idea – courtesy of Alastair Campbell – that you can simply 'manage' the news, and people will feel better.

In practice, that means that if enough lies are repeated, enough platitudes spouted and enough protests cracked down on, then eventually the headlines will change.

Ironically, this attitude is doing more to whip up unrest than a Facebook post by some outraged Essex grandmother.

Indeed, elsewhere, the government is very much compounding the anger with its lack of transparency – whether it is the prolonged obfuscation over its new Islamophobia definition, continuing delays with a grooming gang inquiry or just the clear evidence of two-tier justice across all aspects of policing.

Whether it was Denmark, India or Spain, nothing is clearer than the fact that we now have an 'emperor's new clothes' situation in Britain today.

Our leaders strut around naked and then have the audacity to criticise the dress and deportment of the plebs down below. In short, if anything is bringing the nation to boiling point, it is this.

- ***spectator.co.uk: Two tier policing has arrived in epping: https://tinyurl.com/395c3n22***

On England, liberty and the law

Ancient rights being eroded by politicians snatching power

by **ANTHONY J MOLYNEUX**

IN these dark times, it is encouraging at least to see something of an awakening awareness among the people of England of their historic rights, as enshrined in our ancient common law constitution.

These rights have, for over a thousand years, served as a safeguard for the people against overbearing and overreaching state executive power.

King Alfred the Great – who in unifying the heptarchy of seven Anglo-Saxon kingdoms, served as the first King of England from 886 AD until his death in 899 AD – laid the foundations for a legal system that would prove strong in its defence of the rights of the people over the ensuing ages, by consciously basing its laws on Christian principles.

As well as a great military general who defeated the Danish Viking raiders that had been wreaking havoc among Anglo-Saxon coastal communities, Alfred was a wise and pious ruler who was able to then conclude sensible and enduring peace terms with the Danes through securing their conversion to Christianity.

Thus, under Alfred's strong and enlightened reign were laid the foundations of the ancient constitutional settlement that sought to secure, through subsequent ages, a healthy balance of power between king, lords, and commons; or, to put it another way, between the executive, judicial, and legislative branches of government.

This balance was deemed to be crucial since, clearly, too much power in the hands of the king would lead to tyranny; too much power in the hands of the lords would lead to oligarchy; and too much power in the hands of the commons would lead to anarchy.

Such was the strength of Alfred's ancient constitution, that it even managed to survive (albeit, sadly, too often in something of a dormant state) the Norman Conquest of 1066, that seized England for the foreign rule of William the Conqueror and his lieutenants.

In 1215, executive overreach by William's descendant, King John, prompted a restoration of the balance of power on the part of the aristocracy – the barons – when they presented the King with the Magna Carta (Great Charter) that reaffirmed the ancient constitutional rights of the people as originally set out in the time of Alfred.

In the 17th century, it fell to Oliver Cromwell – in my view, the greatest leader our nation has ever had – to champion the ancient constitution's defence of the rights of the people against first the executive tyranny of King Charles I, and then the legislative tyranny of the Rump Parliament.

Lady Justice

Cromwell's rule as Lord Protector, from 1653 to 1658, proved to be the most enlightened, moral and stable government England has ever had, notwithstanding the barrage of negative propaganda his reputation has been unjustly subjected to since that time. So strong and prosperous was the country that he left behind after his tragic and untimely death, that even the return of the monarchy and consequent regression into fundamentally immoral government could not deter the nation from the path to world dominance that Cromwell had set for it.

What the restoration of the monarchy did mean, sadly, was that the moral character of British dominance was inevitably greatly diminished from that which would undoubtedly have prevailed, had Cromwell and the republic lived.

Nevertheless, Cromwell's efforts to keep the flame of liberty alive in the 17th century – with echoes in the Bill of Rights of 1689 – inevitably attracted new followers in the 18th century.

William Blackstone was an inspired jurist who set out to meticulously chronicle the historical development of English common law since the Anglo-Saxon era. The result was four academic (though accessible) volumes setting out, for the first time, the full extent of the common law in relation to the rights of persons, to property, to civil wrongs, and to criminal wrongs.

The first volume on the rights of persons in particular proved hugely influential among the disenfranchised colonists in America, who rightly pointed out that their own government in Whitehall was riding roughshod over their ancient constitutional rights.

The result was the American Revolution, the singular and lasting achievement of which was the assertion in codified form of such fundamental rights of the people as freedom of speech (the first amendment), freedom of privacy (the fourth amendment), and the freedom from being forced to bear witness against oneself – aka the right to remain silent (the fifth amendment).

For the people of England today, it is a sobering thought indeed to note that these fundamental rights, as asserted so successfully by the American people, in fact have their roots in the ancient constitution of our own country.

Another such fundamental right is, of course, the right to trial by jury, and it is deeply concerning to consider that this right, too, appears to be the latest target in a worrying trend towards extreme executive overreach in the UK, since the coming to power of Tony Blair and his acolytes at the end of the 1990s.

But in fact, the erosion of the right to trial by jury was something that had begun thirty years before Blair, when his Labour Party mentor Roy Jenkins was Home Secretary; for it was Jenkins, who in permitting criminal convictions by majority verdict from 1967, overturned the thousand-year-old ancient constitutional requirement that jury convictions must be unanimous.

This is far from an unserious matter, for as scholars of jurisprudence have pointed out, there is good reason to suppose that the objection of just one person to a criminal conviction in a jury of twelve, can in fact be regarded as constituting the definitive standard of 'reasonable doubt'. This was famously shown, of course, in the wonderful portrayal by Henry Fonda of the lone, doubting juryman in the classic 1957 courtroom drama, *Twelve Angry Men*.

Closer to our own time, of course, such considerations have obvious implications, as regards, for example, the recent conviction for murder of the neonatal nurse Lucy Letby on majority – as opposed to unanimous – jury verdicts.

Those who persistently refuse to countenance any possibility that these convictions might be unsafe, despite the numerous subsequent expert testimonies that have been offered in support of Letby, would do well to consider that, if the ancient constitutional rights of the people of England had not been so whimsically cast aside by politicians such Jenkins, those convictions would not even have been convictions.

More broadly, it is now high time that the English and British people resolved to take the requisite steps through the democratic process, to reclaim and reaffirm their ancient constitutional rights once and for all, in perpetuity.

Language is the ultimate battleground

by **MICHAEL O'CLUNE**

Sleight of meaning: A quick return

I ENJOYED David Charalambous' article, 'Sleight of meaning' in *The Light* 58.

His identification of 'semantic drift' as a mechanism for the appropriation and control of narrative is bang on. In *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, George Orwell articulated something similar in the notion of 'DoubleSpeak'. This was Big Brother's attempt to reduce language to the point that seditious thought could not occur.

I myself, while an employee of local government, was made to attend a 'training' event on intersectionality, which comprised of an idiotic attempt to suggest various cultural bigotries could be solved via linguistic engineering. The same rationale sees transexuals elevated to the position of having their very own personal pronouns. If we all did that, what chaos would follow?

I had gone to the lecture thinking that it would be a paid-up snooze followed by a cup of tea and maybe a stale biscuit. I was cured of that notion when I became the lecturer's target example in the classroom. As a white, older male in a largely younger, female audience, it seemed that my, assumed, prehistoric viewpoint would be an easy target for her winning the audience over. She made many jokes about her poor husband too.

Aside from the obvious hypocrisy, she found herself unable to deal with my response to her declaration that words themselves are the problem and not just their intended use. I cited the example of the Spastic Society which decided to change its name (at huge marketing expense) to SCOPE because schoolchildren were using it as a pejorative term. I pointed out that the subsequent words 'scoper' and later 'special' replaced it as the preferred playground jibe. The point is, you cannot control how words are used in a diverse culture, even if taboos exist. It is hubris to attempt it.

Language is the ultimate battleground, as Charalambous has made clear. We are in a spiritual battle, between good and evil. It is essential to understand that without language in the broader sense, there would be no meaning at all. The world would be an undifferentiated continuum.



Doublespeak is becoming the new norm

We cannot think without a language of some sort, and it is a short journey to find that those who seek ultimate control want to control how we think.

Sadly for them, being psychopaths and lacking empathy ensures that they miss the crucial point that, even being denied the words to articulate things, people will still feel the injustice; humans are more than computers in meat sacks, despite Yuval Noah Harari pompously stating that we are hackable animals.

However, as someone who writes verse to distribute at protest events, I am conscious that language can be as obfuscating as it is illuminating. How people read my poems depends on many things, including their own mood, experiences and cultural references. We each have our linguistic culture, and this affects the way we think.

For example, some older cultures did not even have a word for black; it was considered dark blue because the sky was blue in daylight.

We in the British islands are fortunate to have a language derived from many others, which has the ability to absorb and often repurpose words. This way, our ability to express ourselves can always be expanded when needed.

For example, the French word for sheep – mouton – is transposed as our word for the meat of sheep: mutton (since we already had a word for the animal). So, a word in one culture has, over time, been changed by another.

According to Ferdinand De Saussure, the meaning of a word – what it signified – is somewhat elusive. It can alter through time and culture. It can also mean several things at once, depending on who spoke it and who heard it (often for comedic effect). In effect, the truth of a word or communication cannot be pinned down in the way that the psychopaths desire.

Language is always in a state of flux; in essence, it is constantly creating. It will inevitably break out of the tyrants' imprisoning lexicon. Even the Critical Theory schools attempting a metalanguage come up against language's paradoxical nature. Slavoj Zizek gets closer with his notion of a 'sublimity' at the heart of meaning itself.

A sublimity is something beyond human conception and representation. So, if the truth behind the meaning of a word is sublime and therefore unapproachable, how can we – since we think and communicate linguistically – ever hope to control it?

Following this, the truth underpinning and driving our thoughts must also be sublime. Freud called it the unconscious, and struggled to some extent with its implications. In his *Biographia Literaria*, Samuel Taylor Coleridge concluded that the 'romantic genie' – the sublime truth inherent in the creative act (or genesis) was 'the infinite I AM (Yahweh, aka Jehovah)'. Coleridge views his own creations as coming from the place within where the Creator of everything resides.

'In the beginning was the word and the word was with God' (John 1). The Bible tells us that the world was brought into being by God calling it to be so. It then starts to name the heavens and the earth, thereby differentiating and defining the construction of God's world. Genesis states that God brought forth everything linguistically.

To me, it appears that this battle for narrative and discourse that Charalambous alludes to is fundamentally linked to the spiritual battle between good and evil. God wants us to experience the wonder of eternal creation, while evil wants us to imprison us with language by limiting it – good luck with that one!

Testing times for maintaining freedom

DNA database for babies and digital ID looming

by **DR MICHAEL POULIN**

ACCORDING to the BBC, our 'NHS plans to DNA test all babies to assess disease risk'.

The NHS is the UK government-funded medical and healthcare service that everyone living in the UK can use without being asked to pay the full cost of.

It is common knowledge that a DNA test is very expensive. The government plans to pay for it with taxpayers' money without asking for our consent.

If we have a dilemma, i.e., cost versus reason, the reasons must be quite solid. Common medical practice is that almost all supposed genetic diseases are identified without DNA tests by the age of three to five years in babies.

In other words, these tests are not necessary at the proposed scale.

According to the BBC, 'every newborn baby in England will have their DNA mapped'.

It seems that the word 'mapped' is the key. So far, the mapping of people to DNA was an attribute of the judicial system aimed at suspected criminals.

So if the majority of illnesses that require DNA analysis may be identified without the DNA tests, might we suggest that this initiative of the NHS makes all of us suspects?

Do they want to track and trace every newborn?

This totalitarian idea is part of 'the government's ten-year plan for the NHS'.

Well, many people might be curious about what gives this government an assurance that the elections of 2026 (local) and 2029 (general) would keep them in power.

This plan may be scrapped, but tens of thousands of our future Brits will be mapped already.

And this move comes after a 'study analysing the genetic code of up to 100,000 babies'.

Was this study legal, did parents agree to this test, or were parents tricked into this test?

The BBC article continues: 'funding for the new initiative will also support efforts by Genomics England to build one of the world's largest research databases.

'The scheme is part of a government drive towards predicting and preventing illness, which will also see £650m invested in DNA research for all patients by 2030.'

If the government's plan is for ten years, what is so special about 2030, which is only five years away?

The answer could lie within the government's solution for DIPP – Digital Identity for Physical Person – a digital label for each individual that silently collects information about personal activities online and is linked to the physical person.

At the same time, the government is aiming to make the UK a navel of AI technology, at least in Europe (using developers from Indian companies) which fits nicely with DIPP.

Both DIPP and the AI goals are scheduled to be achieved by 2030.

And then we have the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 16, which is to provide legal identity for all, including birth registration by 2030.

So it is no coincidence that 2030 has been chosen in the UK as the date for the 'goal of containing over 500,000 genomes' for the database.

The mousetrap with the good intentions of 'predicting and preventing illness' being used as bait could be about to slam shut on our freedom – in just five years' time.

- ***https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/articles/c1ljg7vovmpo***

Blair's immigration control U-turn

by **PETER PHILLIPS**

Law removal led to 'four-fold' increase in numbers arriving

IN 1996, immigration to the UK was brought down to around 50,000 a year.

This was achieved by the introduction of what was known as the Primary Purpose rule, brought into being by the Conservative government in 1983.

Its purpose was to stop British citizens of largely Indian sub-continent origin from entering into arranged marriages with citizens of these countries, primarily as a means of immigration for the latter.

The rule achieved this by requiring individuals applying for entry to the United Kingdom as a spouse or fiance to prove that their marriage, or intended marriage, was not entered into primarily for the purpose of gaining admission to the UK.

Tony Blair - behind digital ID

Although one would have thought that it would be difficult to assess such claims in practice, the rule was in fact reasonably successful in its objective.

However, although not referred to in the Labour manifesto prior to the 1997 general election, one of the first things Blair did when he became prime minister was to quietly repeal the rule.

As a consequence, by 1998, it has been reported that immigration shot up from 50,000 the year before, to 197,000 – nearly a fourfold increase.

One can therefore reasonably presume that immigration has increased by at least 150,000 every year thereafter, if not more, because of such marriages.

When leading the Conservative Party into the 2010 election, David Cameron must therefore have known that his promise to reduce immigration to the 'tens of thousands' was completely unachievable. Some might say it was a downright lie. This was because he must have known about the repealed rule and that he had no intention to reinstate it – otherwise he would surely have said so.

Despite the importance of reinstating the Primary Purpose rule in any plans to reduce immigration, it seems to be rarely referred to by politicians or the media.

Sadly, this equally seems to apply to populist or patriotic representatives (though that may be because they simply don't know about it), but it most certainly needs to be reinstated if immigration is to be brought under control.

This is because immigration for love – real love and marriage that is – is usually considered outside the scope of immigration control. So whatever proposals are made for controlling, limiting or stopping immigration by parties such as Reform, Advance UK or UKIP, reintroduction of the rule needs to be included as a priority.

A stitched-up legal system?

Legal activist Steven Thomas interviewed by *The Light*

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

RICHARD HOUSE [RH]: You're making quite a name for yourself, taking on the legal system. Tell us about your latest case.

Steven Thomas [ST]: My local council tried to silence me last year by bringing a fabricated ASBO (an antisocial behavior order) against me. This included making false statements both to the police and on court records that I was 'racist' and had behaved extremely aggressively at council meetings, without providing any corroborating evidence. Police turned up multiple times at my home, intimidating me by attempting to serve council paperwork.

RH: How did you decide on a course of action to push back?

ST: I asked the council three times to evidence their claims, and they refused; so I sued the individuals in question. I was extremely angry that I'd been dragged into court based on fabricated statements, which cost me time and money to defend, by council employees who are meant to serve the public.

RH: What was involved in bringing this case – e.g., did you have to learn new skills and self-educate about the law and how it's meant to work? And did it take courage to pursue this path?

ST: As the name suggests, employing a solicitor means that someone else is 're-presenting' you, and you have no standing in the courtroom. The private bar guild operates within a self-serving, protectionist racket and will end up costing you a lot of money. Filling out a money claim form (N1) is fairly self-explanatory and researching the rules is relatively easy to do now. If you have the courage in your convictions to argue your case, I'd recommend people to do it.

RH: 'The private bar guild operates within a self-serving, protectionist racket' – tell us more:

ST: The Bar Association facilitates and furthers the interests of its members, not the public, and as long as they get paid, they don't care who wins or loses. The Bar is an extension of an international private membership guild, operating under the false pretense of 'law' and legal fictions. In reality, they're creatures of statute – administrators of corporate rules, not true law.

RH: Does that mean there are no 'good', ethical legal practitioners who genuinely seek the best outcome for clients? Or are you making an institutional-level point that doesn't necessarily apply to all legal professionals?

Photo: Paul Chard

ST: It would be wrong to paint all legal practitioners with the same brush. During covid, some did question the ethics of doctors and nurses, many of whom hadn't questioned the authority of those issuing the orders. However, in a profession dominated by secret handshakes and a desire not to rock the apple-cart, the loyalty of many of those working in the legal industry is to the Bar, not the public.

RH: How has your case unfolded to date?

ST: A directions hearing was scheduled at the end of May at Gloucester County Court, with all parties ordered to attend. Having personally witnessed blatant overt corruption at the county court involving unrelated cases, I had emailed the court several weeks prior, to specifically state that I did not want two particular judges on my case, one of whom was a 'deputy' district judge. The court replied stating that a district judge had been allocated to my case as per court procedure. Then I received a letter two weeks before the hearing stating that the venue had been moved from Gloucester County Court to Cheltenham Magistrates' Court. I suspected some 'lawfare' taking place, so I wrote back stating that I wasn't prepared to accept any last-minute change of judge, especially if it was a deputy district judge, because they do not swear an oath of allegiance, and are simply private solicitors or barristers appointed on a fee schedule to work for the court, allegedly for when they are under-resourced.

I arrived on the day, and a deputy district judge had indeed been put on my case, switched over at the last minute by the court. We researched this deputy judge and discovered that he had been involved in a 'secret soundings' controversy. So much for impartiality!

Fearing an unfair hearing and a council/court stitch-up, I told the court staff I wasn't prepared to go into the courtroom, demanding an immediate adjournment. I was advised that if I did not go in, the hearing would proceed without me.

Under duress, I stood in the entrance to the courtroom, where the deputy district judge tried to proceed with the hearing. I noticed that the three defendants had not turned up, as previously ordered by the court, and said this was infringing my Article 6 ECHR rights to a fair hearing. It fell on deaf ears, and after much commotion, the judge walked out.

Returning shortly afterwards, I asked the judge three times whether he was operating under his oath; he refused to answer, and walked out for a second time. The third time he returned, the deputy judge ordered security to remove me. Security guards assaulted me, and with the public intervening to stop physical force being applied, a female witness had her leg crushed, and suffered a large gash leaving blood dripping everywhere.

We called the police, and around 20 officers arrived in four police cars and a riot van. They seemed intent on getting me out of the building, threatening to arrest me for 'potential' breach of the peace, even though I had not caused any breach of the peace.

I left of my own free will and the case went ahead, effectively being pushed back to Bristol, making it difficult for myself and the public to attend.

RH: What have you learned during this extraordinary process?

ST: I've learned that when you take action against malfeasance committed by the state, they protect each other and do whatever is necessary to get rid of the problem. Deputy district judges are often brought in to do the dirty work on behalf of the council, and they do not operate under oath, which regular judges standing under the Judicial Appointments Commission could never get away with. It is effectively a secret covenant between the council, the courts and the police, and very difficult for anyone to get justice in a rigged system, especially in the lower courts.

- ***Steven Thomas is a human rights activist and environmentalist.***

What is wrong with our universities?

Professor Gloria Moss interviewed by *The Light*

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

Richard House [RH]: Tell us about your university career.

Professor Gloria Moss [GM]: After postgraduate studies at the London School of Economics in Human Resources and Organisational Psychology, I had a career in industry as a head of training; but my enounter with the glass ceiling led me to conduct independent research and thence full-time work in academia.

With around 80 peer-reviewed articles and conference papers, and eight published books, my research exposes the reality of biological sex differences in the visual arena (as in the graphics, products and websites created by males and females), with the differences being statistically highly significant. The preference tests that I conducted showed that men unconsciously preferring designs created by men, and vice versa for women.

Why? After height, the 'visuo-spatial' is the biggest area of sex differences – with men's eyes spaced five millimetres further apart than women's, giving better stereoscopic vision; he has a maximum of three colour pigments, as against around 50 per cent of women having four (giving her access to hundreds of millions more colours); and the prevalence of colour blindness in men facilitates hunting by seeing through camouflage. Her skills are adapted to gathering, and managing the community, both of adults and children.

The paradox is that 83 per cent of purchases are made by women, but with design and marketing largely in the hands of men, graphic and product design are imbued with 'hunter' rather than 'gatherer' vision.

This is just crazy commercially speaking, since the preferences of the main purchasers – women – are ignored. You could see this as a result of extreme patriarchy that has produced an ideology that denies a separate biological identity for females, and argues that males and females can change their sex. This ideology flies in the face of the facts, since biological sex is hardwired across all five senses, and the 70 trillion nucleated cells of the body.

So my research challenged the mainstream, and it became clear that obtaining funding for this kind of research, despite its commercial significance, would be increasingly difficult. After 20 challenging years, I shifted to the topic of best practice leadership, on which I had published earlier, when investigating whether men and women applied different criteria in interviews. Now, with research funding behind me, I examined the wondrous effects of a style of leadership that could not be more different from the 'transactional' style found in public sector organisations (including universities), and preferred by men. Things became extremely difficult, and so I now conduct my research independently.

Photo: Julius Dudenas

RH: So this was 'politically correct' resistance to acknowledging what were impeccable scientific findings? If so, what does that say about modern-day 'science'?

GM: Official knowledge has been tightly controlled for centuries. After the Great Fire of London in 1666, those who published and sold unlicensed books and broadsheets were arrested, and the Privy Council ordered the public burning of a pamphlet that could have provided evidence of a conspiracy behind the Great Fire (see *The Light*, issue 25 p.9).

In the 1970s, an article in *Nature* magazine omitted all scientific evidence of the constituents of the madder dye found in 70 per cent of the corpses in just one cemetery at the Dead Sea Scrolls site at Qumran, concealing its function as a medical centre, with madder, like hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), containing quinine.

Using undocumented funding (*tinyurl.com/54yxwc67*), Robert Maxwell initiated peer-review journals in the 1950s, and they keep the lid on new knowledge. Essentially, the academic system is there to facilitate what philosopher Thomas Kuhn terms 'normal science' – knowledge that bolsters existing rather than new paradigms. Control has increased enormously in recent years, but it's always been there.

RH: So academic instititions are skewed towards buttressing the status quo – academic study isn't meant to be like that! Tell us about how universities have changed over time.

GM: Until 1915, universities were not in receipt of state funding and this made them independent. But that all changed during WW1 (*see tinyurl.com/bdefsj58*), when universities became an arm of the state, and freedom to think became a casualty.

Universities were accredited by the state, and in 2010-11, the government poured £10 billion into them. Today, that sum is halved, with student fees and private funders (e.g. Bill Gates and the Wellcome Trust) filling the gap.

There are still state-funded research councils and other government monies, but the latter is controlled by the regulator for higher education in Britain, the Office for Students, that makes receipt of funding contingent upon the achievement of targets.

These targets include 75 per cent of undergraduates completing their course (something facilitated by a reduction in standards) and 60 per cent finding professional employment within 15 months of graduation. The government also measures research excellence using publications in 'top' journals as a proxy measure, even though peer review is widely criticised for lacking validity and reliability, with top journals being somewhat narrow in focus and, in science, rejecting innovative research.

If it were left to me, I'd select metrics concerning research innovation as well as enhancements in students' critical thinking, particularly since an international OECD study in 2022 (*tinyurl.com/46cf4hub*) found that only 45 per cent of new graduates demonstrated this, with evidence of little learning gain over the course of a degree.

RH: Are there possibilities for organising effective learning/teaching initiatives that reside outside of the mainstream system – the higher/further education equivalent of home schooling, perhaps?

GM: Yes, there are exciting possibilities. I have been setting up a new university, outside the mainstream, Truth University (*truthuniversity.co.uk*), and an increasing number of academics have come on board. We offer research qualifications at foundation and masters level, and there could be no better way of learning, while also developing new truths that, in the case of the best work, will be published by Truth University Press. The culture is collegiate and supportive of the discovery of new truths, and we prioritise evidence-based critical thinking.

On 13-14 March 2026, we will have our next Questioning History/Science conference, preceded by an award ceremony celebrating those pushing the boundaries of knowledge.

- ***Professor Gloria Moss is the author of around 80 peer-reviewed journal and conference papers, eight books and over 200 articles for the media.***

RESOURCES

Articles by Gloria Moss

- ***How universities are failing us:*** *tinyurl.com/226hvxk6*
- ***Can we still look to mainstream universities to tell the truth?:*** *tinyurl.com/4wck8eh9*
- ***A new education system would mend a broken world:*** *tinyurl.com/56thrabh*
- ***Universities complicit in the war against biological sex:*** *tinyurl.com/mr34dny6*

Books by Gloria Moss

- ***Why Men Like Straight Lines and Women like Polka Dots:*** *tinyurl.com/nmczxs3k*
- ***Personality, Design and Marketing:*** *tinyurl.com/5db5n4bj*
- ***Gender, Design and Marketing:*** *tinyurl.com/4bmfw2at*

Truth University Press

- ***The Dark Side of Academia: How Truth is Suppressed:*** *tinyurl.com/4tdevc26*
- ***Lightbulb Moments and the Power of Critical Thinking:*** *tinyurl.com/3camvf8m*
- ***Plagues, Pandemics and Raging Fires:*** *tinyurl.com/mwnmstc5*

Know the

It's time we learn that most things i

Food

Are you eating processed foods?

Do you know the ingredients in the food you eat?
Is your food organic?
Does it come from local farmers?

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Your garden

Are you spraying Roundup (glyphosate) on your dandelions?

If the answer is yes, you are actually causing illness to your neighborhood pets and children. You can garden without the pesticides and herbicides. There are so many alternatives that are not toxic. You can grow lots of food naturally, you just need to relearn the knowledge of our ancestors. Learn how to identify local edible plants. Share this valuable information with the youth. You don't have to just grow roses.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Beef

Are you eating grass fed, pasture raised, never frozen cows?

Cows are meant to eat grass and then we get those healthy omega fats for our brains. Eating cows that have never seen grass or even the light of day is toxic. Not all animal protein is created equal.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Light bulbs

Do you have incandescent bulbs?

No they aren't illegal. These are harmless. Beeswax candle light is also amazing. LED bulbs and CFL (compact fluorescents) are toxic, not only are they loaded with mercury and other toxins but the flicker rate is putting you in a trance, messing with your sleep cycle and even disrupting your hormones.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Water

Does your water have fluoride in it?

Are you drinking spring water? Maybe you use a distiller. There is a huge difference between finding a local spring and getting your own water, compared to drinking tap water with chlorine and fluoride and all the meds people have taken and put into your local water supply.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Salt

Celtic sea salt or Himalayan salt have a high mineral content and are good for you.

Though processed table salt may not be good for you at all.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Sugar/Sweeteners

Learn about the glycemic index and know what sweeteners are better than others.

With high fructose corn syrup and Monsanto's monopoly on sugar beets, learning about date sugar or coconut sugar or honey and maple syrup is going to change your life and that of your children. I know Halloween is coming up but you are the boss. Don't let your children eat the poison. Find the healthier alternatives and trade them out. You know better than they do.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Juice

Is your juice pasteurised?

It shouldn't be. Find cold pressed organic juice. No additives or added sugars. Can't find the good stuff? Get a juicer and make your own. Boiled (pasteurised) juice is not even good for you.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Raising yo

Are your childre

Are they ingesting toxic
ADHD? Do you know wh
a developing child? The
many parents claim to be
about alternative form
children. Where there i
Public school is becomin
predatory. It is up to yo
life and to bring a real
and not a brainwashe
dependent on the system
Show ther

LEAD BY

Cooki

So many oi

If it's solid at room tem
consuming something
rancid inflammatory p
vegetable oils belong
grass-fed butter or lar
processes it takes to cre
Not all cooking oils are
excuse is 'I feel fine', it's
adapted to the poison. D
you that your body w

KNOW THE

Clo

**Those big white fl
childhood stil
from tim**

Point them out to your f
long linear ones and
engineered clouds cal
yourself and learn how
and what is g

KNOW THE

The

Written by M

difference

n this world are *not* created equal

ur children

n screen addicts?

: food dyes which cause
hat EMF radiation does to
list goes on and on, but
e 'too busy' to even think
s of education for their
is a will, there is a way.
g increasingly toxic and
ou to honour health and
person into this world,
ed, programmed robot
. Teach the children well.
n the way.

EXAMPLE

ng oil

ls are toxic.

perature, you are likely
much healthier than a
oison. Canola, soy and
in the bin. Use tallow,
d, and learn about the
ate the oils you consume.
e created equal. If your
s because your body has
Detox from it and I assure
ill recognise the toxin.

DIFFERENCE

uds

uffy clouds of your
l come around
e to time.

riends and family. Those
l fish-scale ripples are
led chemtrails. Educate
to identify what is natural
eoengineering.

DIFFERENCE

The Uncensored Truth
eLIGHT
Matt Landman

Your friends

Is you friend circle uplifting or toxic?

Are they aligned with your morals and the truth? Having toxic friends can be draining, and it doesn't matter how long you've known them. People change and you are evolving. Don't let them bring you down. Clear the way for high vibrational relationships. You can do it.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Medicine

Are you trusting big pHARMa?

Statins will lead to dementia and antidepressants cause depression. Have you ever tried alternative medicines? Visited a naturopath or a herbalist? Get some exercise and stop popping pills...the white coats don't care about you.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Toothpaste

There are many options that are not poison.

Fluoride has never been proven safe or effective. If you can't find it locally, order fluoride-free toothpaste online. You can even make your own at home, it's super easy. Learn the facts and stop poisoning yourself and your children.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Soap

Does your soap have triclosan in it (a known endocrine hormone disruptor)?

Please stop using hand sanitiser, but if you need it in your life, find the most natural version. There are healthy brands of soap and you don't have to poison yourself and your children. Read and research the ingredients and find the healthy alternative. This also goes for detergent and shampoo. You wouldn't believe all the poison in those colourful bottles.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Work

Is your work environment toxic?

Do you come home drained and hating life? Take steps towards doing something that inspires you. It may take some planning and commitment, but you can make a difference in this world and you can create a life that uplifts you. It's up to you and no, you are not stuck. Make your income into righteous money and the flow that brings you will be that of a higher path.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Milk

Be good to yourself with raw milk.

Have you ever tried raw milk? Is your milk pasteurised and homogenised and overly processed? Is it organic? Be good to your body and stop using the excuse that it's too expensive. Pasture-raised, grass-fed dairy is the only way to go. Do the research: find the local farmers.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Clothing

100 per cent cotton, hemp or linen are not the same as a blend that includes polyester and synthetics.

Studies have been done on the frequency of textiles. 100 per cent cotton or even organic is becoming harder to find.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Media

Are you listening to music that brainwashes you?

Is it violent or demonic? Listening to chanting monks, classical or supporting a friend's music is completely different than listening to Taylor Swift or Gangsta Rap. Same goes with the movies and shows you absorb.

KNOW THE DIFFERENCE

Protest against the genocide in Gaza - Melbourne Australia

Beyond time to call out the real terrorists

by **HEATHER STROUD**

IN George Orwell's book, *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, only the dominant force was able to determine what was true and what was false – hence 2+2=5.

Today, those remaining in Gaza, who have survived the intensive bombing of almost all of Gaza's infrastructure, sniper shootings, and starvation, are hanging onto life by a thread.

Yet, it is the Palestinians who are described as the terrorists and their genocidal oppressors who are the victims. Palestinians are labelled as terrorist because they are not willing to bow down to Zionist Israeli despotism.

After more than 100 years of theft and a creeping dispossession of their land, they have formed resistance movements in an attempt to protect their lives and their homes. From the Zionist-Israeli perspective even a child, or an unborn Palestinian, is a terrorist because that child could and often does grow up to join his brothers and sisters in resistance against the occupiers.

An individual in the UK can receive a custodial sentence for pointing that out; not only under international law, but also under any kind of natural moral law, armed resistance to occupation and genocidal oppression, is not only a right, but a duty. How have we reached such a perverse and twisted understanding of natural justice, where speaking out about an obvious truth has become a crime?

With the twelve man/woman jury and presumption of innocence before the law, the British judicial system was once seen as a model of justice and democracy around the world.

The influence of Zionist ideology did not appear overnight. It was a step-by-step, insidious capture that has taken decades to gain hold over all of our institutions. It is an ideology created by those who thrive on power and wealth, with a track record of exploiting the divisions that naturally occur between groups of people.

Syria, a secular country under Assad, had defied Israel and the U.S. by staying loyal to the Palestinian cause. They had been a pivotal part of the resistance movement by facilitating the transfer of weapons from Iran to Hezbollah in Lebanon. Secular Syria no longer exists under the Al-Julani, HTS (ISIS/al-Qaeda) led regime. Regardless of what one thought about Syria under Assad, Syria today is a place where kidnapping, rape and murder have taken place throughout the country.

Today, apart from evidence of bombed areas, Lebanon on the surface seems to function as it always has, with a stoicism born of adversity. The shops and the cafes are still there where people gather together and talk.

However, look deeper and the wounds are apparent under the surface. Just a year ago, the Israeli pager attacks, the bombings and the assassination of Lebanon's revered leaders in the resistance, are wounds where the blood has not yet dried. The ongoing genocide in Gaza reminds the Lebanese and Palestinians of the savagery of Zionist Israel's intentions of further killings and land-grabs. There is a fear that the divisions between the different factions could be exploited. Clearly, exploiting these cracks are the aims of Israel, the U.S. and their Saudi allies.

One needs look no further for evidence of this than the monstrosity of the 40 acre U.S. Embassy, cut from a mountain and overlooking the Bay of Beirut, to understand the symbolism of a dominant power.

The U.S. and Saudi Arabia, on behalf of the Zionist Israeli entity, are insisting that the Lebanese Parliament disarm Hezbollah, the Lebanese resistance movement's only real defence against Israel's further expansion into their sovereign territory. Never before has Lebanese unity been more essential.

As the local currency in Lebanon continues to plummet, the U.S. have proposed an investment of some $72 million to pay the majority of the salaries of the Lebanese army in dollars ('Beirut and the Birth of the Fortress Embassy', Habib Battah, Middle East Research).

Neither the U.S. nor Israel have a track record of standing by previous agreements. In fact, the absence of being trustworthy would clearly suggest that they are not to be trusted.

The disarming of the PLO in 1982 with the promise of international forces protecting Palestinian women and children, is a glaring example of how catastrophic it can be when agreements are not kept.

Zionist Israel is the birth child of the U.S., and under Trump, relations have never been closer. It is not unreasonable to conclude that this is a move to break any resistance from within Lebanon to defend itself against Israel.

Winston Smith, the protagonist in Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, was reduced to defying the totalitarian Party's attempts to crush him of his identity by repeatedly stating his name. Palestinians are being told that they are not Palestinians, but Arabs who do not belong on the land of their ancestors. The heavy price they are paying for commitment to their homeland and resistance to the juggernaut powers that oppress them is starvation and death.

For those of us in the West, who are not facing this genocide on the front line, the least we can do is to be clear in our minds as to who the terrorist is. Truth cannot be crushed by threats and bombs.

To quote from someone speaking on behalf of the Lebanese right of resistance: 'We are all created from the one human soul. The murder of one is the murder of all.' The resistance movements are not just fighting for the lives of the Palestinians, the lives of the Lebanese, or even just the lives of those in the Middle East, they are fighting for the liberation of all people around the world.

Let us, in the supposed 'free world', speak the truth with a clear strong voice and not join with their persecutors in condemning them.

Tangled web of Zionism

Political enterprise for land conquest and power

by **SERENA WYLDE**

THE emergence of Zionism in the late 19th century was as much an Evangelical Christian movement as a Jewish one.

Zionism is said to be an ethnocultural nationalist movement that emerged in Europe to establish and support a Jewish homeland through the colonisation of Palestine.

Today, tens of millions of Americans are Christian Zionists. They are mainly concentrated in the Southern states of the U.S., but represent a powerful and vociferous force. Their fervent and unconditional support for Israel rests to a large extent on a belief in a Biblical interpretation that the Jews' return to the land of Israel will bring forth the return of Christ, as disseminated through the Scofield Reference Bible of 1909.

Jewish political Zionism, on the other hand, was driven by very different motives. Also emerging in the late 19th century, with the convening of the First Zionist Congress in Switzerland in 1897, its aim was to establish a Jewish ethno-state. Their project was not religious, but political.

They sought a resource-rich land which would be run by Jews for Jews, and Palestine was only one of the possibilities they looked at. Other territories they considered were Argentina, Uganda, Cyprus and Texas. But the choice of Palestine enabled them to take advantage of Christian Zionism to help legitimise their political ambitions.

The key element, however, in the ultimate construction of the Zionist edifice, was Britain's imperial interests, which provided the route to implement the Jewish-Zionists' ambitious plan.

By the early 20th century, Britain sought to consolidate its power in the Middle East. The Franco-British construction of the Suez Canal had been completed in 1869, and Britain needed to retain control of this vital shipping route. Added to this, in 1911, Winston Churchill, as First Lord of the Admiralty, championed the conversion of the Royal Navy from coal to oil to increase its speed, thus it also needed control over oil fields. A Jewish state in Palestine was seen as a European foothold.

It is therefore important to understand that the Zionist state came about through well-orchestrated political manoeuvres by Zionists in strategic positions of influence in Britain and the U.S., and an expedient marriage of diverse motivations and agendas. The fact that Palestine had an indigenous population of mainly Muslims and Christians was considered incidental.

Zionism has much opposition, especially among orthodox Jews

There was never any question of ever allowing an independent Palestinian state to exist on any portion of the territory alongside a Zionist state. As Israeli historian, Ilan Pappé, amply describes, complete ethnic cleansing was always the plan, and it began in the 1920s under the British mandate, long before the establishment of the state of Israel in 1948.

It is equally important to appreciate the degree of Jewish dissent against Zionism, both from orthodox Jews as well as from secular quarters. Prof. Ruel F. Pepa, writing on the research and media platform, *Global Research*, informs us that orthodox Hasidic Jews take a spiritual approach to worship, and reject Zionism because of the Talmud's 'Three Oaths': the injunction against mass Jewish return to the land of Israel; the prohibition of rebellion against the nations; and the command not to 'force the end' by hastening redemption.

Many orthodox Jews, particularly within the Haredi communities, regard Zionism as a theological affront, while secular intellectuals see it as a form of European colonialism.

Prof. Pepa cites historian Shlomo Sand, whose book, 'The Invention of the Jewish People' (2009), challenges the Zionist narrative of unbroken ethnic continuity. He writes: 'Sand argues that Jewish identity, far from being an ancient and immutable national essence, was shaped by processes of religious conversion, cultural assimilation, and historical reconstruction…Sand accepts Israel's existence as a present-day reality, but denies that it rests on a legitimate historical or Biblical right.'

One view is that the real meaning of Israel is not a place at all, but a belief system that centres on an understanding that divinity is universal. This view posits that the patriarch Jacob was renamed Israel because he embodied this belief, and that his followers were named Israelites. Their identity was rooted in their belief, not their ethnicity. It also points to the translation of the Bible commissioned by King James I of England and published in 1611, as having allegedly introduced key linguistic shifts that distorted the original meaning of Israel. It suggests that the translation conflated several terms: Israelites, Judeans, Judahites and Yahudi, and rendered all of them as 'Jews', thus creating a false ethnic identity, as it implied that all of these terms referred to the same group of people.

Manipulations of the Bible via translations obviously have far-reaching effects on people's understanding of it. A loving God who favours one group of people over all others makes little sense.

Jesus' teachings transcended ethnicity and geography. His message was, and remains, universal. It calls on us to live with justice, love, charity and a deep connection to the Divine.

Every spiritual philosophy worth its salt teaches integrity, humility, restraint, respect for the rights of others, rejection of violence, compassion, and the universal application of ethical principles, none of which is compatible with Zionism.

Until we are able to separate Zionism from any ethnic or religious attachment, and see it for what it is – a contrived political enterprise for land conquest and power, forged through corruption and violence – we won't be able to tackle it properly.

On September 7, a 400-page legal petition was presented to the government under the title 'Britain owes Palestine', the aim of which is to seek acknowledgement of, and reparations for, its part in the ethnic cleansing of indigenous Palestinians during its occupation of Palestine (1917-48).

The petition was initiated by Munib Rashid Al-Masri, who was born in Nablus, Palestine in 1934, and witnessed the Palestinian Nakba (catastrophe) of 1948, in which Zionist militias killed around 13,000 Palestinians and displaced 750,000 others.

It has been drafted by two KCs, with contributions from leading Israeli historian, Avi Shlaim, and international legal scholar, John Quigley, and argues that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict was made in Britain. If the government does not respond, the issue will be referred for Judicial Review.

Ilan Pappé's slender book, *A Very Short History of the Israel-Palestine Conflict* (2024) provides in 144 pages an illustrative picture of what the Palestinians have endured for the last century.

Help us print the uncensored truth: thelightpaper.co.uk/donate

Slovakia enshrines two genders

by **THOMAS BROOKE**

Amendment promoting 'national identity' gains approval by a whisker in vote that divided parties over European political hot potato

SLOVAKIA'S parliament has approved a constitutional amendment defining gender strictly as male and female, a move that has sparked both celebration among conservatives and fierce criticism from liberal and pro-European parties.

The amendment ensures that EU law cannot override Slovakia's constitution on 'value, cultural, and ethical issues', setting up a clash with Brussels.

The measure, which required 90 votes to pass, was adopted on September 26 after two deputies from the opposition, Movement Slovakia, broke ranks and supported the government's proposal.

Marek Krajčí and Rastislav Krátký, who had publicly pledged not to vote with Prime Minister Robert Fico's ruling Smer party, switched positions at the last minute. They later said they wanted to help their allies in the Christian Democratic Movement (KDH), which strongly backed the change.

The amendment, which takes effect on November 1, enshrines 'two genders – man and woman' in the Constitution of the Slovak Republic. It also bans surrogacy, reinforces parental rights in schools, and defines marriage and parenthood in strictly biological terms.

Slovakian Prime Minister Robert Fico

The text states that the new rules are intended to protect 'traditional values' and Slovakia's 'sovereignty in cultural and ethical matters'.

It also ensures that EU law cannot override issues related to such matters, as lawmakers effectively ignored warnings from Brussels not to follow through with the amendment.

European Commissioner for Justice, Michael McGrath, penned a letter to Bratislava earlier this summer, stating that the proposed amendments 'raise concerns in connection with the principles of the primacy of European law.'

'The primacy of EU law is not open for debate,' he warned.

Robert Fico hailed the result, thanking 'influential people' who helped secure support, though he declined to name them. He said his party had made clear that the vote would be the last chance to anchor such provisions in the Constitution.

The Christian Democrats welcomed the outcome. Party leader, Milan Majerský, said that the amendment safeguarded human dignity and praised the deputies who ensured its adoption: "The constitution protects women in connection with surrogacy, but also children and families," he said.

But liberal and centrist parties condemned the result. Michal Šimečka, leader of Progressive Slovakia, called the amendment 'shameful' and said it would harm the country's citizens while raising questions about Slovakia's place in the European Union. He accused Movement Slovakia of betraying its voters by siding with Fico: "After this, there can be no thought of cooperation with them," he said.

Branislav Gröhling, leader of Freedom and Solidarity (SaS), also criticised the two deputies who switched sides: "One night was enough for the anti-corruption movement to change its mind and support Robert Fico," he said. SaS lawmaker Mária Kolíková warned that the change could put Slovakia's EU funding and membership in the Council of Europe at risk.

The right-wing Republic movement and the nationalist Slovak National Party welcomed the vote, calling it a historic moment that confirmed Slovakia's commitment to traditional values.

- ***rmx.news: Slovakia enshrines two genders in constitution as amendment promoting national identity passes by a whisker: https://tinyurl.com/ym9hnc64***

ClearAIR.fm
THE SOUND OF FREEDOM
Launches 27-10-2025
https://clearair.fm

Please pass The Light on when you've read it

France on the brink of another revolt?

Macron under pressure as his governments fail to cope

by **THOMAS KOLBE**

FRANCE remains paralysed even after its latest government reshuffle. Time is running out to consolidate public finances before bond markets turn against Paris.

The office of Prime Minister has become a revolving door. In just three years, President Emmanuel Macron has burned through five governments without visible results.

The country is trapped in political shock, a deadlock in parliament that appears unbreakable.

On September 9, Sébastien Lecornu of Macron's Renaissance party took the poisoned chalice from his failed predecessor, François Bayrou.

Like those before him, Bayrou was broken by France's structural inability to reform. The country sustains one of the most bloated welfare systems in the world, with government expenditure at 57 per cent of GDP.

This over-extended welfare state acts as a sedative for a migration-driven society that has shifted into full socialist-style management of the economy – and now cannot find a way out.

France buys social peace with ever-larger sums of borrowed money. The strategy leaves deep holes in the public accounts and barely hides the fractures of a fragmented society, where class conflict grows more aggressive and Islamist subcultures flourish.

With new borrowing at 5.6 per cent of GDP this year, and total debt at 114 per cent, France faces the classic Ponzi dilemma: once old debt can no longer be rolled into new issuance, the entire system collapses.

Such crises usually begin with a loss of confidence – either from political breakdown or from the realisation that a debtor state lacks the real assets to cover obligations.

Many commentators point to America's even larger debt burden, but the comparison is misleading. The U.S. is the world's largest economy, energy independent, with unmatched technological supremacy. Future productivity gains give Washington leeway to stabilise its debt. Europe, and especially France, cannot make the same claim.

Thus, one of the EU's central pillars is heading into a showdown with investors. And as always, the European Central Bank will be forced to step in as the last line of defence to stave off systemic collapse.

Protests show France in crisis

The ECB has developed a formidable toolbox: sovereign bond purchases on secondary markets (SMP/OMT), massive media management campaigns, liquidity injections into banks, and interest-rate manoeuvres.

Officially, such interventions are tied to austerity or reform requirements under EU or IMF supervision. In practice, those conditions are watered down to platitudes.

Fiscal consolidation has become a theoretical construct – a ritual statement at summits designed to mask the reality of lost control.

The ECB can also cut policy rates or flood banks with short-term liquidity to prevent credit crunches and contagion. But this creates a deeper trap: each intervention erodes monetary stability and fiscal discipline further. The Eurozone now survives only under permanent monetary anaesthesia.

Since summer 2024, France has been under EU deficit proceedings, joining six other violators of the Maastricht rules. But the procedure is toothless. No-one in Brussels or Paris pretends the old stability pact matters anymore.

The EU has become a debt union. Its political class assumes the printing press of the ECB will cover their deficits indefinitely.

Lecornu's 'reform' strategy is the same story: tax hikes instead of structural change. The government plans to reduce the deficit from 5.4 per cent in 2025 to below 3 per cent by 2029. To get there, Paris targets €44-50 billion in savings: €30 billion in spending restraint and €20 billion in new levies.

Corporate taxes that were scheduled for repeal are extended to 2030. A special tax will hit high earners (€250,000 for singles, €500,000 for couples).

Energy consumers will face higher costs on electricity, gas, and air travel. Even multinational corporations will face new surtaxes.

This is 'consolidation' in the European sense: more revenue extraction, not genuine reform. The strategy seeks to buy social calm while reassuring bond markets – an impossible balancing act.

Whether Lecornu can deliver politically is doubtful. Bond markets are already voting. French 10-year yields, negative as recently as 2020, now hover around 3.57 per cent, the highest in a decade. Spreads against German Bunds have blown out to 75-80 basis points from under 30 just a few years ago. Investors see mounting risk in French paper, a reflection of fiscal chaos and political paralysis.

Stripping out inflation (officially around three per cent, but realistically much higher), the Eurozone now faces positive real rates after years of zero or negative policy. That exposes the zombification of its economies. Years of artificial support have left public and private sectors too weak to sustain debt under real market conditions.

The Eurozone model – centralised control, endless debt, permanent ECB intervention – is reaching its limit. France exemplifies the result: a bloated welfare state, collapsing competitiveness, and a debt burden that can only be rolled until markets say 'enough'.

Don't expect Washington to rescue Europe. Dollar swap lines from the Fed are reserved for key allies such as Japan. Europe is no longer seen as a privileged partner, especially after Brussels' hostile Digital Services Act and Digital Markets Act. In Washington, Brussels looks more like a second-class petitioner than a systemic ally.

The lesson is simple: ignore real risks long enough, paper over them with monetary morphine, and you guarantee a spectacular blow-up. France looks like the likeliest trigger point – a systemic crisis that will spread like wildfire across the Eurozone.

Lecornu resigned just as T*he Light* was going to print.

- ***Thomas Kolbe, a German graduate economist, has worked for over 25 years as a journalist and media producer. As a publicist, he focuses on economic processes and observes geopolitical events from the perspective of the capital markets. His publications follow a philosophy that focuses on the individual and their right to self-determination.***
- ***zerohedge.com: France on the brink - debt spiral and political paralysis: https://tinyurl.com/bddjjjpu***

A herd of elephants in the room

Silence over society collapsing at the hands of the vaccines

by **SERENA WYLDE**

THE ongoing lawsuit in the Netherlands, brought by two sets of vaccine-injured plaintiffs against Bill Gates and others, had garnered an impressive list of international expert witnesses willing to testify.

They have claimed that the covid-19 injections were developed, produced and distributed within a framework indistinguishable from weapons.

The case is being brought against Gates, Pfizer CEO, Albert Bourla, former Dutch PM, Mark Rutte, now head of NATO, and several Dutch health officials,

One of those witnesses, former pharmaceutical R&D executive, Sasha Latypova, said that when she was asked by the Dutch lawyers for the plaintiffs if she would give evidence, they told her that expert testimony cannot fail to be granted. However, in the preliminary hearing held on July 9 to introduce the expert witnesses, they were all denied the right to testify.

British journalist Jim Ferguson of *Freedom Train International*, who attended the hearing, explained that this was because, in January of this year, the Dutch legislature passed a law giving defendants the power to disqualify expert witnesses brought to give evidence against them, with whom they disagreed. Manifestly, this is a calculated manoeuvre to remove all possibility of independent evidence, as no defendants are likely to agree with witnesses testifying against them.

Another of the expert witnesses due to give evidence was international human rights lawyer, Prof. Francis Boyle, a leading authority on biological and chemical weapons. His testimony would have carried enormous weight but, three weeks after he agreed to give evidence, he unexpectedly died. Although 74 years old, he was in good health and teaching full-time at the University of Illinois. His cause of death is unknown.

In a discussion on New Zealand platform FreeNZ hosted by Liz Gunn, where Sasha Latypova and Jim Ferguson were both guests, Latypova stated that 75 per cent of adult Americans suffer from chronic disease, and 65 per cent of children. Pointing out that the cost of associated state benefits was clearly unsustainable, she surmised that, side-by-side with targeting fertility in the young, the covid-19 injections were likely aimed at a major reduction in life expectancy.

There can be no better example of the crazy politics of a deluded and degenerating society than one that celebrates the neurodegeneration of the next generation

Our collective health has been comprehensively destroyed by poisonous vaccines, particularly in the last four decades. In the U.S., the National Childhood Vaccine Act 1986 gave the pharmaceutical industry broad protection from liability, and in the UK, the Vaccine Damage Payment Act 1979 created a narrow-parameter payment scheme 'for persons severely damaged by vaccination' to be paid compensation out of taxpayer funds, capped at a one-off payment of £120,000.

Since then, an ever-increasing quantity of multiple vaccines have been combined into shots and administered to infants. This exponential increase has been directly mirrored by an exploding population of children with neuro-developmental disorders, which requires a bottomless fund of financial and human resources.

In the U.S., the childhood vaccines schedule has increased in the last forty years from three or four to 72-plus doses. This can only be described as an experiment, as the cumulative effects of such medical interventions on infants have never been studied. In the UK, babies receive 6-in-1 shots at 8, 12 and 16 weeks. At one year comes the MMR, plus another set of cocktail injections, and further doses and boosters at 18 months, and so on.

The rising rates of autism and other disabilities have recently become a very pressing issue, because local councils are financially collapsing under the burden of having to provide places for children with Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND), as well as complicated taxi services to get the children to school.

Jane Wills, the mother of an autistic boy, writes articulately and courageously on her Substack, *The Autism Tribune – Freeing the next generation from neurological harm*, about the reality of what has been described as an 'autism epidemic' versus the confected narrative of 'neurodiversity'. She writes: 'There can be no better example of the crazy politics of a deluded and degenerating society than one that celebrates the neurodegeneration of the next generation.'

Jane Wills informs us that Warinder Juss, MP for Wolverhampton West, researched the scale of the SEND crisis in his constituency and found that one in five children of school age (20 per cent) have special educational needs. He said that rates had gone up by 140 per cent in just a decade.

Wills also reports that a BBC article confirmed the one-in-five rate, that this equates to 1.7 million children nationally, and that the rate has gone up by 5.6 per cent in the last year alone.

As demand exceeds capacity in special schools, local councils are being forced to pay for expensive private provision.

In June, *The Guardian* reported that the combined deficit associated with local authority spending on SEND was likely to exceed £5 billion by next March, causing large numbers of councils to become insolvent.

Wills decries the trend to explain away the rise in SEND children as a function of 'over-diagnosis'. She writes: 'Walk into any of the growing number of schools or special care homes across the country and you will see the less rosy side of "neurodiversity".

'Children who can't speak but scream. Boys and girls wearing helmets to protect their heads during seizures. Most wearing headphones because they can't stand noise (even though they make a lot of it themselves). Teenagers in nappies.

'We need to understand its causes, and recognise that autistic behaviours are a product of neuroinflammation.

'New teachers train in an environment where it is normal for a significant minority of pupils to be unable to learn. Up to a third of a primary school class will struggle to sit still and be calm, to listen and follow instructions, to communicate and socialise, to behave properly, to hold a pen, to manage to read and to store information.'

How much energy do teachers have left over to fulfil their real function for the remainder of the class?

Returning to the lawsuit in the Netherlands, the five expert witnesses who have been denied the opportunity to testify, will now be publicising their evidence on various platforms. It is vital that independent media activity keeps this pivotal case in the public eye.

- ***conservativewoman.co.uk: Callous cost cutting spells disaster for the autistic: https://tinyurl.com/msxv8r6e***
- ***bbc.co.uk: Urgent action needed to support SEN pupils: https://tinyurl.com/mr2r5xbr***

Seaside town combatting tyranny

New Brighton gets art installation after digital ID announcement

by **DEAN SAUNDERS**

Dan Davies converts New Brighton into a massive statement against digital ID

ON Friday September 26, at a meeting for progressive leaders in London, Keir Starmer announces that all UK citizens will require a digital ID.

He claimed that you will not be able to work in the United Kingdom if you do not have a digital ID.

On the very night that Keir Starmer announced that, New Brighton – a small seaside town in Merseyside – reimages its Victoria quarter in a massive artistic statement against digital ID.

The James Atherton pub in New Brighton, made famous for changing its name to 'The Three Bellends' during lockdown, featuring images of Boris Johnson, Chris Whitty and Matt Hancock, has now changed its name again. This time to 'The George Orwell' featuring Keir Starmer as Big Brother from the dystopian novel, *Nineteen Eighty-Four*.

Dan Davies (left) and The George Orwell Pub (right) in Victoria Quarter of tourist spot, Wirral

Signs reading 'Big Brother is watching' with Keir Starmer's face on are all over the pub, as well as other Orwellian-related artwork featuring the prime minister.

Just across the street from the newly-named George Orwell pub on victoria road, we find 'The Ministry of Truth' – another reference to Orwell's novel. Above, on a massive wall in the heart of the Victoria Quarter, was a CGI projection of Darth Vader removing his mask to reveal a dystopian, robotic, dictatorial-looking Keir Starmer.

With a large one-eye symbol and some famous quotes from Orwell's dystopian masterpiece flickering between the CGI Big Brother version of Keir Starmer, large speakers were installed on the road, playing loud, Orwellian-themed sounds and quotes.

At the other end of the road in New Brighton, we find 'The Ministry of Love' – also from *Nineteen Eighty-Four*.

It is covered in posters and stickers featuring artwork in a similar vein. One sticker reads 'Big Brother is watching you. Listening to your phone conversations, reading your e-mails and chats, accessing your videos, photos, file transfers, video conferences, log-in passwords and monitoring your credit card transactions.'

Another prominent poster plastered across the building features a picture of Keir Starmer stating 'Big Brother is Watching You' with the famous quote below: 'War is peace, Freedom is slavery, Ignorance is strength'. The man behind this incredible, epic art installation is local businessman and entrepreneur, Dan Davies.

Davies is responsible for the regeneration and creation of the Victoria Quarter in New Brighton. He took a tired, deserted and run-down seaside town and turned it into a bustling creative hub for arts, music and nightlife.

The town now has a Californian boulevard feel to it, due to Davies's innovative and creative vision. However, he has not received support or funding from the local Wirral Council, and some fear that due to the council's negligence, the area may one day slip back into decline.

For now though, the town is on the up, and Davies's creative spark and rebellious attitude keeps the place feeling very much alive.

He said: "This is about waking people up. We don't want a future where every part of our lives is monitored and controlled. That's not freedom, that's Orwell."

Hopefully, New Brighton can inspire other towns to take the leap and get creative in the fight against tyranny.

True Pearls: Lysander Spooner 1808-87

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

American individualist, anarchist, political philosopher and legal theorist

The Constitution...has either authorised such a government as we have had, or has been powerless to prevent it. In either case, it is unfit to exist.

All, or nearly all, the advantage there is in fixing any constitutional limits to the power of a government is simply to give notice to the government of the point at which it will meet with resistance.

A man is no less a slave because he is allowed to choose a new master once in a term of years...a man subjected to a government that he does not want is a slave.

The apology, that is constantly put forth for the injustice of government, viz. that a man must consent to give up some of his rights in order to have his other rights protected, involves a palpable absurdity, both legally and politically.

Lysander Spooner

The government, like a highwayman, says to a man: 'your money, or your life'. And many, if not most, taxes are paid under the compulsion of that threat.

To deprive mankind of their natural right and power of creating wealth for themselves is as great a tyranny as it is to rob them of it after they have created it.

These so-called governments are in reality only great bands of robbers and murderers, organised, disciplined, and constantly on the alert.

A man's natural rights are his own, against the whole world; and any infringement of them is equally a crime, whether committed by one man, or by millions calling themselves a government.

Those who are capable of tyranny are capable of perjury to sustain it.

To say that majorities, as such, have a right to rule minorities, is equivalent to saying that minorities have, and ought to have, no rights, except such as majorities please to allow them.

The men who loan money to governments, so called, for the purpose of enabling the latter to rob, enslave, and murder their people, are among the greatest villains that the world has ever seen.

Everybody has a natural right not only to defend his own person and property against aggressors, but also to go to the assistance and defence of everybody else, whose person or property is invaded.

Majorities have the same passions for fame, power, and money as minorities; and are liable and likely to be equally – perhaps more than equally – rapacious, tyrannical and unprincipled, if entrusted with power.

Legally speaking, there are no such thing as 'public rights' as distinguished from individual rights. Legally speaking, there is no such creature or thing as 'the public'.

The right and the physical power of the people to resist injustice are really the only securities that any people ever can have for their liberties.

Those who deny the right of a jury to protect an individual in resisting an unjust law of the government, deny him all defence whatsoever against oppression.

It is manifest that the only security against the tyranny of the government lies in forcible resistance to the execution of the injustice; because the injustice will certainly be executed, unless it be forcibly resisted.

The Light
The Uncensored Truth
A Free Truthpaper

INSIDE YOUR LIGHT

UK EXITS ERM AS SOROS BREAKS BANK p2
GOVERNMENT CLOSING 1/3 OF MINES p4
NORIEGA SENTENCED TO 40 YEARS p17

LONDON. Oct 12, 1992 Autumn edition

Hurricane Andrew disaster

by John Hamer

EYE-WITNESS TESTIMONY CONFLICTS WITH OFFICIAL STORY

HURRICANE Andrew made landfall in the early hours of August 24, 1992 in South Dade County, Florida.

The devastation left behind by Hurricane Andrew

Contrary to official media accounts, K. T. Frankovich reported in Nexus magazine that the storm's outer eyewall 'unexpectedly slammed into South Dade,' bringing winds she estimated far beyond official figures.

Most of the 414,151 residents in the danger zone were asleep when the winds struck. Many, she said, were neither evacuated nor warned adequately. Local broadcasts, she recalled, had repeatedly told residents to expect '50 mph winds'.

Shortly after midnight, she and her son watched an emergency broadcast: an urgent announcement from the National Broadcast Emergency Centre declaring that Andrew had shifted five degrees south and would strike South Dade within minutes.

Their housing was a pre-fab unit rated for 90 mph winds but within seconds, the hurricane hit 'like a speeding locomotive'. The building shook and objects flew; the wind's roar turned into a jet-engine hum that drowned everything. A concrete transformer pole crashed through their living room, destroying the entire structure.

Frankovich insists Andrew did not resemble a typical hurricane. Survivors compared the experience to being inside an F-5 tornado – high-velocity, sustained winds lasting hours rather than seconds.

She described catastrophic injuries – her own broken jaw, the loss of eight teeth, deeply embedded shards of glass, and head trauma that permanently affected her eyesight. Thousands, she claims, were killed – the stench of decomposing bodies saturated miles of devastation.

In the immediate aftermath, she said, military and law enforcement authorities cordoned off large areas and removed bodies and body parts with alarming speed, using military vehicles and refrigerated trucks. Those in the roped-off zones were left without food, water, medical supplies or shelter for ten days. Frankovich states that military and federal personnel were present quickly but were 'not there to help survivors'.

She reports seeing grown men in the fetal position, moaning, while body-collection teams filled body bags and transported them away. She actually stepped on a severed child's hand and saw decapitated and otherwise mutilated bodies.

Frankovich recounts that the bodies were stored in refrigerated truck sections at Card Sound Naval Base and later either incinerated or buried in mass graves. According to her, those working on body retrieval were forced to take an 'Oath of Sworn Secrecy'.

She said that psychiatrists were brought in to treat rescue workers suffering shock from exposure to the carnage, and asserted that these actions and secrecy amounted to a governmental cover-up and compared the disposal of bodies to historical atrocities.

She further alleged government neglect of migrant farmworkers. A large population of Mexican, undocumented workers lived in camps near the Everglades and claimed that many vanished the night Andrew struck, with bodies later found in the marshes.

Chief Petty Officer Roy Howard, who told an audience that he had been told – while on active duty after Andrew – that roughly 5,280 bodies were handled by the National Guard and another 1,500 by the Coast Guard.

Frankovich argued that those figures and other removals by various branches meant that the true death toll was far higher than the official media count, which ranged between 15 and 59.

Frankovich described encounters with authorities that she characterised as cruel and inhuman.

On the third day after the storm, a convoy of police vehicles arrived but when she begged an officer for medical help, the officer allegedly mocked her, suggested she write down her details "so tomorrow, when I find your body, I'll know who to contact," and then threatened her with a gun.

She claims that she and her son narrowly escaped being shot.

She also recounted widespread bureaucratic failure during relief operations. Local and federal agencies, she said, were disorganised – turning away offers of aid, and misdirecting supplies. She quoted Dade County officials pleading for Federal help and described the Red Cross command centre as chaotic and unable to process offers of equipment and supplies. Newspaper reports, she noted, gave drastically lower figures for homelessness and destruction than the reality that she witnessed.

Frankovich also believes that official agencies downplayed or misdirected warnings before landfall. She said that a Metro Zoo employee told her, in confidence, that a 'bigwig' at the National Hurricane Bureau had known that the storm would hit South Dade but had publicly shifted forecasts to avoid panic and to spare Miami Beach.

She phoned a local CBS station before the storm and said she was repeatedly told she was in a safe area and had no need to evacuate, but by the time her son arrived home it was too late to leave.

Escaping the disaster zone took her and her son several weeks. She reports losing weight, continuing severe injuries remaining untreated for weeks, loss of teeth and progressive optic nerve damage that left her partially blind. She claimed that thousands were listed as 'missing' and at one point over 4,000 were officially missing. By the time she left South Dade, the official response had been 'inadequate and deceptive'.

Frankovich also named three ongoing tragedies that resulted from what she called 'a cover-up'.

First, the confiscation and disposal of loved ones' bodies without graves or memorials, denying closure.

Second, the downplaying and dismissal of survivors' suffering; and third, the long-term homelessness and destitution forced on many survivors.

She accused authorities of deliberately deceiving residents into staying in the danger zone, then denying aid afterwards and argued that the worst-hit – trailer-park dwellers and lower-class housing residents – were treated as 'expendable'.

While she accepts the possibility that Andrew may not have been specifically 'engineered', she suggests that the storm's targeting of vulnerable communities was "more than likely engineered in some other 'connected' way", asserting a belief that thousands of poor residents were effectively written-off.

Her account is a mixture of vivid personal testimony, allegations of official malfeasance and cover-ups, and bitter grief at what she describes as the betrayal of survivors by their government and institutions.

The images she describes – of the storm's force, of bodies removed and hidden, of wounded people abandoned – stand as testimony against the official, far more limited, public record of Hurricane Andrew's human cost.

● *falsificationofhistory.co.uk*

Letters to TheLIGHT

Love us or hate us, we are helping raise the level of debate in this country and across the world, so we welcome views from all perspectives, because the enemies of free thought and free speech are censorship and group-think. We are all individuals – let's have your thoughts! ***Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk***

Dear The Light,

Your paper will go down in history for enlightening the public about covert agendas of mendacious rich and powerful oligarchs and cabals keeping us dominated, ignorant, drugged, compliant and covertly enslaved to their aggrandisement.

It is reassuring to read informative and perceptive articles by Serena Wylde, Darren Smith, Richard House and others on major deceptions like the Great Reset, covid, pharma fraud, AI, climate change, false-flag events, Palestine and the intentions of China, Russia, Iran, to cite a few.

The BBC critique on *The Light* denigrated it with conspiratorial allusions to inciting violence and hate. Yet violence is fuelled by BBC and media hate-filled propaganda against nationalists, Islam and the above nations. Nations which challenge 'Democracy', the U.S. and Zionism. The latter pair have unleashed hatred, violence, death and destruction on a worldwide scale more than anybody, blogger or independent newspaper, but yet are seldom called out.

Your work should help to secure a peaceful, fair and cognisant future for humanity, if only it were even more widely circulated.

James B Thring BArch MCD PhD (Architecture & Planning)

Dear The Light,

Born and bred in the so-called United Kingdom it is very apparent that much has been withheld from the education system where the bloody English history and colonisation is concerned.

As a child I accepted without question the dictates and beliefs of my parents, however the rapid escalation during the past few years of parents rights verses the education system and the uncontrolled drip feed media has resulted in parents removing their children from the education system. Unfortunately there have always been bad parents who don't know or care what their children are involved with until it is too late.

At the end of the day it is the parents' responsibility to guard their sons and daughters with their very lives – it is not good enough to say 'I didn't know', and try and push the blame on others. The manipulation of young minds is skilful and productive, one downside of the internet.

Sadly I don't see any future for the UK. United it is not, corruption at the highest levels ensures laws are now rigged to police the innocents and silence dissent. The steady influx of

immigrants continues, note they are mostly young men – why? Will they be conscripted to fight for the war dreams of the sick politicians planning war against Russia? No chance.

How utterly dispicable the sight of the so-called elite and privileged at the royal banquet hosting Trump, or should I say tolerating Trump, who no matter how wealthy is still a 'common man', while the homeless queue at food banks, a supermarket shop quickly sliding into the distance as prices outpace incomes.

Judgement is coming to the Western elites– Israel, U.S. and Europe first in line for 'God's Holy Wrath'.

The Lord said in Genesis 6:3, 'My spirit will not strive with man forever'. No winners – game over.

The commandment 'Thou shalt not kill' is ignored by the Zionists. Feeble, sick, little children sacrificed to their self-made gods of privilege, superiority and materialism. Hell opens its mouth wide and those who only want their ears tickled will follow them into the abyss.

Everything you covet – gone in a flash.

We wait with apprehension for the Autumn Statement. I doubt the elites in the UK even pay tax – so they will be scraping the barrel in the hopes this will either starve or freeze the elderly. That will get the pension 'burden on the state' down. They forget we paid a lifetime for this privilege.

Talitha Hill

Dear The Light,

It's been said 'There's a razor's edge between genius and insanity'. Logically then, artificial intelligence has the propensity for insanity too.

Peter Manley

Dear The Light,

When I read your journal, my energy levels dropped down quite considerately and I did not feel very well at all. This made me think the following:

As it was quite important to be informed about these things, we have moved into a different time now. What I feel is that it would be far more interesting to report from positive actions and developments, where people are starting to make a difference to achieve a positive outcome – and to uplift themselves and their environment.

The most important thing in this time is to make us and people around us happy, to embrace and celebrate our lives.

When we are focusing and being concerned about war, war will come. If we are focused on happiness, happiness will come. This is a universal law, as we are attracting what we are focusing on. My suggestion is to report on actions with really positive outcome. We need to fill ourselves up with happiness, friendliness and compassion.

The people at the top governing us have failed us; now we the people at the bottom have this incredible chance to rebuild a New World based on love and caring.

Let this Light paper beam through light and not through heaviness.

Henriette Burns

Dear The Light,

Nothing beats concentrating the mind to the shortcomings of wind farms than the collapse of a wind generator due to wind – and how very ironic.

It is a great folly attempting to generate large amounts of electricity from the wind – it will all end in tears.

Wind generators, like us all, are subject to the aging process, and as they do so, these monstrosities will become much more susceptible to the inevitable forces of nature.

Therefore it beggars belief that there are proposals to erect 800 feet tall monstrosities across Wales – surely the great minds at the Welsh Assembly will put a stop to this folly?

Apart from the potential risks they pose to wildlife, property values, as well as people's physical health and mental wellbeing coupled with their unpredictability, there is simply NO requirement for them in Wales.

We already produce all the electricity we need, so where is the sense in it all? Could it be that the Wind Industry and others consider the Welsh Assembly as easy prey, making a handsome profit for themselves and all at our expense?

Dave Haskell

Dear The Light,

I have endured eight years of deliberate persecution/ discrimination (and criminal damage) from a local council department with no justice forthcoming.

Head-burying is only the half of it, and I would welcome help where it has been impossible to find. I cannot find a law firm that will fight for justice and thus am reaching out via you.

People are literally being left to rot in this broken country, despite efforts to find solutions oneself. Many thanks in anticipation.

Name Withheld

PROVEN ANTI RADIATION PROTECTION

"I felt constantly drained and my kids were glued to their devices. After seeing a live demo at **WTF** & **HOPE festivals**, I realised my shungite stickers did nothing! **THESE ACTUALLY WORK!** They last forever and are great value. My kids mental health is so much better!"

Scientifically Proven
Proven in LIVE demos
No Chinese fakes

Your Biofield Defence Shield
Laptops, WiFi Boxes, Phones, iPads, Smart Meters, Gaming

Dr Jo Whitaker &
Kelly Hindson
British Inventors

Christmas stocking filler
A gift worth giving!

Special Offer
20% OFF!
Use coupon
LIGHT20

MADE IN UK

www.crystalwaterexperience.com

Covid CBDCs
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G UN-WEF etc

We trawl the web so you don't have to

https://www.freecitizen.uk/

Free Citizen UK

Chlorine Dioxide Solution (CDS)

- Strength: ~ 3000ppm
- Made in the UK
- Free shipping around the UK
- Glass or PET bottles
- Bundles available
- Starting at £10.99

Buy online at **buycds.co.uk**
and **campingstuff.uk**

The Uncensored Truth
TheLIGHT

thelightpaperwear.co.uk

Reader's Discount Use light5
W.LAST
UK'S TOP SELLING
COLLOIDAL SILVER
eCS Colloidal Silver has natural anti-bacterial, anit-viral, anti-fungal and anti-microbal properties and supports your immune system
Fights Bacterial and Viral infections
Speeds up Healing and Recovery. Safe to use for babies, small children, pregnant women, nursing mothers, even your animals
No Known Toxicity or Side Effects, Non-addictive
Can be Consumed, Applied Topically or Nebulized
eCS
COLLOIDAL SILVER LIQUID
Ionic Colloidal Silver
1000ml
COLLOIDAL SILVER SPRAY
www.wlast.co.uk • craig@wlast.co.uk • 07395 296265

Feel Calmer, Stronger and more Joyful
through sound that restores balance fast
Your Voice Is Your Medicine.
In minutes, music, singing and sound can rewire stress, lift your energy, and awaken your body's natural power to heal.
• Voice Activations
• Mantra & Toning Journeys
• Colour & Sound Healing
• Music that Rewires for Joy
• Vocal Coaching for Confidence
Experience the Frequency of Freedom.
"I joined to heal my anxiety, but along the way, I found my true voice. Now I sing with confidence and speak with power I never knew I had."
C. Wetherspoon (Retired Nurse)
Start today —
explore our transformational courses or access the full Sing to Thrive Healing Hub.
www.singtothrive.com

The Trust Works
All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God
Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything and be Very Happy about it! What You don't Own, Can't be taken away from You.
www.thetrustworks.co.uk

fforestfields.co.uk
We invite you to Fforest Fields, a haven for those who want to reconnect with the land, nature, quietness and each other.
Camp under the stars, swim in the lake & roam the ancient hills.
Come & escape the noise.
Pitches, Yurts, Cottage & Lodge
20% OFF: USE CODE AWAKE20
fforest fields

ClearAIR.fm
New platform launching soon
WE ARE LOOKING FOR:
Presenters
Podcasters
News researchers
Voice-over artists
Radio ad writers
PLEASE CONTACT US WITH YOUR DETAILS:
clearairfm@protonmail.com

The Brave Choice...

"A life-long endeavour, a seminal work; "The Brave Choice...." offers exquisite insights into this often misunderstood condition."

"In concise and clearly understandable language "The Brave Choice" clarifies the underlying causes of Dementia, and gives us the means to avoid, or reverse, this condition .....if, indeed, we make The Brave Choice!"

"Breathtaking in its beauty, profound in its effect."

"Nothing less than a poetic ensemble of practical benefits."

To order your copy go to:
www.dementiapioneers.uk

dementiapioneers@proton.me

UKCOLUMN EXTRA

the dementia pioneers

We send heartfelt GRATITUDE and encourage your SUPPORT of Brian Gerrish and the UK COLUMN !

Frequent Loo Trips? Poor Bedroom Performance?

These can be linked to prostate changes

We provide **FREE** health guides
& science backed natural support

Visit www.prostateaidcic.com

Prostate Aid CIC: Community Interest Company

Advertise in

The Uncensored Truth
TheLIGHT

contact:

ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

JOIN OUR HOLISTIC DENTAL TEAM

Our patient base is growing
We offer positions for:

Dentist • Dental Nurse
Therapist • Trainee Nurse

Southampton
Full-time or Part-time
Start: ASAP

• Whole-person care •
• Prevention • Biocompatible treatments •

Kind, motivated professionals welcome
New to holistic health? We guide you

Know someone who would love this path? Please share

07852 434 900 | admin@dr-elmar-jung.com

The Libertarian Book Designer

Did you just finish your new novel and need a professional cover for it? Is the printing company talking some nonsense about pages of your book not bleeding properly? Maybe your cover looks wrong on Amazon, or the manuscript completely fell apart after uploading? I would be happy to work with you and employ my experience in publication design, branding, and print production. Check my offer on www.TheLibertarianBookDesigner.com .

— *Matt, The Libertarian Book Designer*

I had Matt fully reformat my book and I should have done so sooner. The improvement and professional final result made the book what I really wanted it to be. He was easy to work with, very affordable and had extremely fast turnaround. I will never release another book without sending it to him for final formatting first.

Jack Spirko, Host of The Survival Podcast
Author of the Amazon Best Seller, ***Laws of Life***


THE
ULTIMATE
VACCINE
TIMELINE
A Fact-Packed History of Vaccines and Their Makers
Shaz Khan
Children's Health Defense
Foreword by Pierre Kory, MD, MPA
"A detailed master-piece... everything you could possibly need to know about the history of vaccines in one beautiful place."
–Paul Thomas, MD
"An extraordinary compilation of historical details..."
–Jeremy R. Hammond
Those who fail to learn from the past are doomed to repeat it
INTERESTED IN VACCINES?
GET YOUR COPY NOW
Available on Amazon or order from your local bookshop
THEULTIMATEVACCINETIMELINE.COM


SAVE your ENERGY Ltd
Boiler service, breakdown, replacement and repairs
by qualified and registered engineers covering the Hertfordshire and North west London.
Up to 12 years warranty on certain boilers
All central heating and underfloor heating work undertaken
All general plumbing work undertaken
Quote Light5 when booking in for discounted Hourly Rate /Boiler service
07885556007
Gas safe 520291 • CIPHE
Which trusted traders • Herts trading standards • Checkatrade
Accredited by all leading boiler manufacturers

GRANDER WATER REVITALISATION
A Quality Water Solution for the Whole Family
"When we drink Grander Water, it is as if we are refreshing ourselves from a pure mountain spring"
Water & Family Health
Water loses its natural energetic potential when treated with chemicals and pumped through pipes over long distances, causing water to becomes damaged, stagnant less vital and flat to the taste, loosing its natural health giving properties.
• Choose to have a vibrant healthy water flowing from every tap in your home
• The taste and quality of bottled water straight from your tap
• Scientifically proven to reduce scale, increase microbiological stability and save on heating costs
Table top systems from £225 & £1,161 for single tap systems to standard house systems with up to three baths prices from £3,980
WATER IS OUR STRENGTH
GRANDER WATER
Sales Office t: 0333 390 9479
e: waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk
www.granderwater.co.uk

CLIVE DE CARLE
"Your body is not lacking pharmaceutical drugs"
Highest quality, essential supplements and more...
CLIVEDECARLE.COM
SECRET HEALTH CLUB
WITH CLIVE DE CARLE
The Magic Chair
Perfect for stress and trauma release. Just one session will leave you feeling calm and focused. Bespoke sessions can be tailored to your individual needs.
Sessions now available by appointment in: Berkshire, Gloucestershire, Somerset and Wiltshire.
To book a session email: magicchair@clivedecarle.com
For more information: www.secrethealthclub.com
See testimonials: www.tiny.cc/magicchair

Water That Lives Again

Introducing the GRANDER® Flask – designed to restore your water's natural balance, wherever life takes you.

★★★★★

"As a gardener, I swear my body notices the difference. It's not just water — it feels alive."

Marcus J., Devon

Using Austrian water revitalisation technology, it improves the way water molecules arrange themselves, helping the oxygen already in the water stay stable and easier for people, plants, and animals to use.

Taste the difference. Feel the clarity

- **Keeps drinks cold up to 24 hrs / hot up to 12 hrs**
- **Built-in infuser and cleaning brush**
- **Optional sports cap & cotton carry bag**
- **Noticeably smoother, fresher taste**

Whether hiking, meditating, or working late — this is water that supports you.

GRANDER WATER

REVITALISED WATER. NATURALLY.

Exclusive offer for the light readers

10% OFF

Use code: **LIGHT10** at **flask.granderwater.co.uk**

Prefer to speak to someone?
Arrange a call back on **0800 0 21 31 71**

AwakeMap.com Find local events

Find and join upcoming local outreach, meetings and social events. Developed by The Light paper, it is completely free to list and use.